



## Effect of Planting Techniques and Nitrogen Scheduling on Productivity and Profitability of Basmati Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

Rahul Kumar <sup>a\*</sup>, Mukesh Kumar <sup>a</sup>, R. K. Naresh <sup>a</sup>, Adesh Singh <sup>a</sup>,  
Satendra Kumar <sup>b</sup> and P. K. Singh <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agronomy, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture & Technology, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Soil Science & Agricultural Chemistry, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture & Technology, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India.

### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2021/v33i2430811

Editor(s):

(1) Prof. Rusu Teodor, University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca, Romania.

Reviewers:

(1) Satwinderjit Kaur, Punjab Agricultural University, India.

(2) Deepa Nvanath Muske, University of Horticultural Sciences, India.

Complete Peer review History, details of the editor(s), Reviewers and additional Reviewers are available here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/78852>

Original Research Article

Received 20 October 2021

Accepted 23 December 2021

Published 24 December 2021

### ABSTRACT

**Aim:** The present experiment was carried out at Crop Research Center of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture & Technology, Meerut (U.P.), India, to study the effect of planting techniques and nitrogen scheduling on scented wet rice, water productivity and soil health in Inceptisol during *kharif* season of 2019 and 2020.

**Study Design:** Experiment was laid out in split plot design (SPD) using crop planting techniques as main plot and nitrogen scheduling as sub plot factor.

**Place and Duration of Study:** The present investigation was conducted during the *kharif* season of 2019 and 2020 at the Crop Research Centre of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture & Technology, Meerut (U.P.), India.

**Methodology:** The main factors consist of four planting techniques *viz.*, Furrow Irrigated Raised Bed System (FIRBs), Reduced Tillage Transplanted Rice (RT-TPR), Unpuddled Transplanted Rice (UTR) and Conventional Transplanted Rice (CTR), the sub factors consist of six nitrogen

scheduling variables viz., Control, 100% RDN (50% B + 25% AT + 25% PI), 100% RDN (40% B + 35% AT + 25% PI), 120% RDN (50% B + 25% AT + 25% PI), 120% RDN (40% B + 35% AT + 25% PI) and Real Time N Management through LCC. Observations on crop yield and attributing parameters were recorded at the harvest of crop. Crop was harvested manually at full physiological maturity. The straw yield was obtained by subtract grain yield from the total biomass yield, recorded plot wise after sun drying and computed to  $q\ ha^{-1}$ .

**Results:** Highest yield recorded under conventional transplanting which was statistically at par with FIRBs and significantly higher than UTR and RT-TPR. Among the nitrogen scheduling practices the highest yield and NPK uptake was obtained with Real Time N Management through LCC which was statistically at par with 120% RDN (50% B + 25% AT + 25% PI) and 100% RDN (50% B + 25% AT + 25% PI), lowest yield and nutrient uptake was obtained in control treatment during both the years of study. Highest net return and B: C ratio was recorded under furrow irrigated raised beds transplanted rice.

**Conclusion:** Basmati rice gave the higher yield under CTR and net return was associated with FIRBs. Therefore, it may be concluded that transplanting of rice on FIRBs with real time nitrogen management through LCC might be a better option to get higher productivity and profitability of basmati rice.

*Keywords: Planting techniques; real time N management; productivity; profitability.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Rice is the major staple food crop of the world and the cultivation of rice is important for food security of Asia, where more than 90% of the global rice is produced and consumed. It is occupying by 167.1 m ha of area, producing 782.0 million tonnes of rice with an average productivity of 4.68 t/ha in the world. India is the second largest producer and consumer of rice in the world after china. In India, the area, production and productivity of rice is 43.8 m ha, 118.9 mt and 2.76 t/ha, respectively. However, Uttar Pradesh is the largest rice growing state after West Bengal but its productivity is low. Rice occupies an area of 5.7 m ha, produces 15.5 mt rice with an average productivity of 2.7 t/ha in UP, [1]. Rice provides 32-59% of the dietary energy and 25-44% of the dietary protein in 39 countries. In India, it accounts more than 40% of food grain production, providing direct employment to 70% people in rural areas. Being the staple food for more than 65% of the people, our national food security hinges on the growth and stability of rice production. Growth with stability is considered important for development of agriculture. There is considerable literature on growth and instability of yield and production of crops both from theoretical and empirical perspectives [2,3]. An analysis of instability in crop output, apart from growth, is important for understanding the nature of food security and income stability.

Traditionally rice is grown as transplanted crop under puddled soil. Puddling is an essential operation for transplanted rice, to minimize

water percolation losses. Conservation agriculture has come up as a new paradigm to achieve goal of sustained agricultural production. It is major step toward transition to sustainable agriculture. At present, growth in agricultural area is slowing and is not expected to play a major role in future production growth in South Asia. Productivity increases will thus comprise the main source of additional grain to meet rising demand, barring large increases in food imports. But some long-term experiments show stagnation and even decline in yield of the rice in South Asia [4]. Total factor productivity is declining and farmers have to apply more fertilizer to obtain the same yields. Soil organic matter is declining new weeds, pest and diseases are creating more problems and irrigation water is less available.

Natural resource conservation is a step towards successful crop production. Hence, adoption of resource conserving technologies is essentially needed to revert the damage made to the natural resources. Resource conservation technologies include *i.e.*, reduced tillage, FIRB, soil water management practices that are cost effective and environment friendly. Resource conservation technologies improve input use efficiency at low cost and preserve ecological integrity of crop production system.

Rice plant require sufficient nitrogen at early and mid-tillering stage to achieve an adequate yield attributes viz., number of panicles, number of grains per panicle. There is need to measure nitrogen requirement of crop at different critical stages of growth. Real time corrective nitrogen

management is based on periodic assessment of plant nitrogen status and the appearance of nitrogen deficiency symptoms especially on leaves. Thus, the key ingredient for real time nitrogen management is a method of rapid assessment of leaf nitrogen content that is closely related to the photosynthetic rate and biomass production and is a sensitive indicator of changes in crop nitrogen demand within a growing season. Recently, it has become possible to quickly and non-destructively quantify spectral characteristics of leaves, which can be used to diagnose plant nitrogen deficiency and in-directly, to correct nitrogen fertilization and improve nitrogen-use efficiency in rice crop. Thus, Leaf colour chart (LCC) has been found an effective, inexpensive and easy to use tool for monitoring the greenness of plant and providing a quick estimate of leaf nitrogen status and highly useful to synchronize fertilizer N application with crop demand. Keeping in view an experiment was conducted to study the effect of planting techniques and nitrogen scheduling on productivity and profitability of rice.

## 2. METHODS AND MATERIALS

The field experiment was conducted at Crop Research Center of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture & Technology, Meerut (U.P.), to study the effect of planting techniques and nitrogen scheduling on productivity of Basmati rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) during the *kharif* season of 2019 and 2020. The climate of this region is characterized as semi-arid and sub-tropical. The summer is very hot and dry while winters are too cold. Moderate rainfall and wide temperature variation is the characteristic features of the semi-arid and sub-tropical climate. Generally, South-West monsoon sets in III<sup>rd</sup> or IV<sup>th</sup> week of June, reaches its peaks in July to August, and continues up to September, cyclonic weather leads to few winter rains. The area receives mean annual rainfall of 845 mm, of which 80-90 per cent is received from June to September. Winter season extends from November to February, whereas frost occurs generally in the end of December and may continue up to the end of January. The mean minimum temperature reaches as low as 3°C in winters, while during summer the mean maximum temperature varies from 43-45°C in the month of May.

The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam in texture and slightly alkaline in reaction. The soil was medium in available phosphorus and

potassium but low in organic carbon and available nitrogen. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with four main factors *viz.* Furrow Irrigated Raised Bed System (FIRBs), Reduced Tillage Transplanted Rice (RT-TPR), Unpuddled Transplanted Rice (UTR) and Conventional Transplanted Rice (CTR) and six sub factors *viz.*, Control, 100% RDN (50% B + 25% AT + 25% PI), 100% RDN (40% B + 35% AT + 25% PI), 120% RDN (50% B + 25% AT + 25% PI), 120% RDN (40% B + 35% AT + 25% PI) and Real Time N Management through LCC. The experiment was replicated thrice with three replications. Harvesting of crop was done manually when the crop reached at full physiological maturity. First of all, the border rows were harvested and separated. Later, the crop from net plot area was harvested and sun dried. The harvested material from each plot was carefully bundled, tagged and brought to threshing floor. Threshing was done plot wise and grains were cleaned, dried and weighed separately for each net plot and computed to q ha<sup>-1</sup> at 14% moisture level. The straw yield was obtained by subtract the grain yield from total biomass yield, also recorded plot wise after sun drying and computed to q ha<sup>-1</sup>. The data collected from the experiment was subjected to statistical analysis with the procedure of Split Plot Design as suggested by Cochran and Cox [5]. The standard error of mean was calculated and critical difference (C.D. at 5%) was worked out for comparing the treatment means, wherever “f” test was found significant.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Yield of Rice

#### 3.1.1 Grain yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>)

The yield was the ultimate result of final assessment of treatment in any agronomic investigation. Grain yield was significantly influenced by planting techniques. The effect of different planting techniques on grain yield was significant. The highest grain yield (44.52 and 45.95 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded under conventional transplanted rice (P<sub>4</sub>) which was significantly higher than the reduced tillage transplanted rice (P<sub>2</sub>) (37.80 and 39.32 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and at par with furrow irrigated raised bed method (P<sub>1</sub>) (42.43 and 43.13 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) in the year 2019 and 2020, respectively. Grain yield was also significantly influenced by nitrogen scheduling. The highest grain yield (48.02 and 50.14 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained with N<sub>6</sub> (Real Time N Management through LCC)

which was statistically at par with N<sub>4</sub> (120% RDN (50% B + 25% AT + 25% PI) followed by N<sub>5</sub> (120% RDN (40% B + 35% AT + 25% PI) and N<sub>3</sub> (100% RDN (40% B + 35% AT + 25% PI) in the year 2019 and 2020, respectively.

### 3.1.2 Straw yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Straw yield was significantly influenced by planting techniques. The effect of different planting techniques on straw yield was significant. The highest straw yield (66.43 and 68.47 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded under conventional transplanted rice (P<sub>4</sub>) which was significantly higher than the reduced tillage transplanted

rice (P<sub>2</sub>) (58.36 and 61.46 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and at par with furrow irrigated raised bed method (P<sub>1</sub>) (64.59 and 67.21 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) in the year 2019 and 2020, respectively. Straw yield was also significantly influenced by nitrogen scheduling. The highest straw yield (70.27 and 73.01 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained with N<sub>6</sub> (Real Time N Management through LCC) which was statistically at par with N<sub>4</sub> (120% RDN (50% B + 25% AT + 25% PI) (69.46 and 72.18q ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by N<sub>5</sub> (120% RDN (40% B + 35% AT + 25% PI) and N<sub>3</sub> (100% RDN (40% B + 35% AT + 25% PI) in the year 2019 and 2020, respectively.




Fig. 1a. Effect planting techniques and nitrogen scheduling on yield and harvest index of Basmati rice (2019)




Fig. 1b. Effect planting techniques and nitrogen scheduling on yield and harvest index of Basmati rice (2020)

### 3.1.3 Biological yield ( $q\ ha^{-1}$ )

Biological yield was significantly influenced by planting techniques. The effect of different planting techniques on biological yield was significant. The highest biological yield ( $110.96$  and  $114.42\ q\ ha^{-1}$ ) recorded under conventional transplanted rice ( $P_4$ ) which was significantly higher than the reduced tillage transplanted rice ( $96.16$  and  $100.78\ q\ ha^{-1}$ ) and at par with furrow irrigated raised bed method ( $P_1$ ) ( $107.02$  and  $110.34\ q\ ha^{-1}$ ) in the year 2019 and 2020, respectively. Biological yield was also significantly influenced by nitrogen scheduling treatments. The highest biological yield ( $118.29$  and  $123.15\ q\ ha^{-1}$ ) was obtained with  $N_6$  (Real Time N Management through LCC) which was statistically at par with  $N_4$  (120% RDN (50% B + 25% AT + 25% PI) ( $115.78$  and  $121.04\ q\ ha^{-1}$ ) followed by  $N_5$  (120% RDN (40% B + 35% AT + 25% PI) and  $N_3$  (100% RDN (40% B + 35% AT + 25% PI) in the year 2019 and 2020, respectively.

### 3.1.4 Harvest index (%)

Harvest index was non significantly influenced by planting techniques. The highest harvest index ( $39.90$  and  $39.82\ \%$ ) recorded under conventional transplanted rice ( $P_4$ ) which was significantly higher than the unpuddled transplanted rice ( $P_3$ ) ( $38.68$  and  $38.55\ \%$ ) and at par with furrow irrigated raised bed method ( $P_1$ ) ( $39.55$  and  $38.85\ \%$ ) in the year 2019 and 2020, respectively. Harvest index was also significantly influenced by nitrogen scheduling treatments. The highest harvest index ( $40.51$  and  $40.62\ \%$ ) was obtained with  $N_6$  (Real Time N Management through LCC) which was statistically at par with  $N_4$  (120% RDN (50% B + 25% AT + 25% PI) ( $40.02$  and  $40.10\ \%$ ) followed by  $N_2$  (100% RDN (50% B + 25% AT + 25% PI),  $N_5$  (120% RDN (40% B + 35% AT + 25% PI) and  $N_3$  (100% RDN (40% B + 35% AT + 25% PI) in the year 2019 and 2020, respectively.

The significantly higher grain ( $48.02$  and  $49.10\ \%$ ) straw ( $38.67$  and  $36.39\ \%$ ) and biological yield ( $42.49$  and  $41.56\ \%$ ) in treatment  $N_6$  (Real Time N Management through LCC) over control ( $N_1$ ) was because of more efficient use of nutrients for their growth and development of better yield attributes and yield. The poor nutrition in control affected the grain yield more than biological yield which ultimately resulted in significant reduction in harvest index. Similar trend has been observed by Gautam et al. [6]; Singh and Walia [7]; Naresh et al. [8] and Kumar et al. [9].

## 3.2 Nutrient Uptake by Rice

### 3.2.1 Nitrogen uptake ( $kg\ ha^{-1}$ )

The Data revealed that, in general the nitrogen uptake was higher by rice grains than straw. The nitrogen uptake by rice grain and straw was significantly influenced with planting techniques during both the years of experimentation. The highest uptake of nitrogen ( $57.04$  and  $60.30\ kg\ ha^{-1}$ ) by rice grain, ( $29.83$  and  $31.27\ kg\ ha^{-1}$ ) by rice straw and total uptake was recorded under conventional transplanted rice ( $P_4$ ) followed by rest of the treatments. However, the lowest nitrogen uptake by grain and straw ( $47.22$ ,  $50.84$  and  $24.67$ ,  $26.55\ kg\ ha^{-1}$ ) was found under  $P_2$  (reduced tillage transplanted rice) during 2019 and 2020, respectively.

The nitrogen scheduling treatments had significant effect on nitrogen uptake (by grains, straw and total) during both the years. The highest nitrogen uptake ( $65.25$  and  $68.87\ kg\ ha^{-1}$ ) by grain, ( $34.31$  and  $36.18\ kg\ ha^{-1}$ ) by straw and total uptake was recorded with  $N_6$  (Real Time N Management through LCC) followed by  $N_4$ ,  $N_2$ ,  $N_5$  and  $N_3$ . However, the lowest nitrogen uptake ( $28.97$ ,  $30.62$  and  $15.74$ ,  $17.65\ kg\ ha^{-1}$ ) in grain and straw was found under  $N_1$  (control) during 2019 and 2020, respectively.

### 3.2.2 Phosphorus uptake ( $kg\ ha^{-1}$ )

The phosphorus uptake in rice grain, straw and total was significantly influenced by different planting techniques during both the year of experimentation. The maximum uptake of phosphorus ( $15.32$  and  $16.61\ kg\ ha^{-1}$ ) in rice grain, ( $11.25$  and  $12.41\ kg\ ha^{-1}$ ) in rice straw and total uptake ( $26.57$  and  $29.02\ kg\ ha^{-1}$ ) was recorded under conventional transplanted rice ( $P_4$ ). However, the lowest phosphorus uptake in grain and straw ( $12.30$ ,  $13.41$  and  $9.22$ ,  $10.02\ kg\ ha^{-1}$ ) was found under  $P_2$  (reduced tillage transplanted rice) during 2019 and 2020, respectively.

The nitrogen scheduling treatments also had significant effect on phosphorus uptake (in grains, straw and total) during both the years. The maximum phosphorus uptake ( $17.20$  and  $18.93\ kg\ ha^{-1}$ ) in grain, ( $13.95$  and  $14.07\ kg\ ha^{-1}$ ) in straw and total uptake were recorded with  $N_6$  (Real Time N Management through LCC) followed by  $N_4$ ,  $N_2$ ,  $N_5$  and  $N_3$ . However, the lowest phosphorus uptake ( $6.98$ ,  $7.44$  and  $4.73$ ,

5.24 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was found under N<sub>1</sub> (control) treatment during 2019 and 2020, respectively.

### 3.2.3 Potassium uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

The Data revealed that, the potassium uptake in rice grain, straw and total was significantly influenced by different planting techniques during both the years of experimentation. The maximum

uptake of potassium (20.46 and 22.65 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in rice grain, (87.00 and 92.02 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in rice straw and total uptake (107.46 and 114.67 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded under conventional transplanted rice (P<sub>4</sub>). However, the lowest potassium uptake in grain and straw (16.54, 18.76 and 74.14, 80.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was found under P<sub>2</sub> (reduced tillage transplanted rice) during 2019 and 2020, respectively.




Fig. 2a. Effect of planting techniques and nitrogen scheduling on NPK uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of Basmati rice (2019)




Fig. 2b. Effect of planting techniques and nitrogen scheduling on NPK uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of Basmati rice (2020)

The nitrogen scheduling treatments also had significant effect on potassium uptake (in grains, straw and total) during both the years. The maximum potassium uptake (23.95 and 27.03 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in grain, (96.07 and 102.14 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in straw and total uptake were recorded with N<sub>6</sub> (Real Time N Management through LCC) followed by N<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>5</sub> and N<sub>3</sub>. However, the lowest potassium uptake of 8.62, 9.06 and 50.61, 54.88 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was found under N<sub>1</sub> (control) treatment during 2019 and 2020, respectively.

The higher N and P uptake in grain because of its chemical composition due to higher amino acid and protein content in grain require more N and P, whereas, higher K content in straw is because of its higher content is required for providing strength to stem by forming cellulose, lignin and pectin. The higher NPK uptake was mainly because of higher grain and straw yield in concerned treatments. Similar trend has been observed by Mahajan et al. [10], Wang et al. [11] and Bhuyan et al. [12]. The higher uptake of NPK in grain was because of more availability of these nutrients, which encouraged the crop growth and finally higher grain and biomass yield. Similar result has been reported by Sharma et al. [13], Raj et al. [14], Liu et al. [15] and Yousaf et al. [16].

### 3.3 Profitability of Rice

#### 3.3.1 Gross return (Rs. ha<sup>-1</sup>)

In term of gross return, among the different planting techniques, the highest gross return

(93658 and 98705 Rs. ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in conventional transplanted rice (P<sub>4</sub>) followed by furrow irrigated raised beds (P<sub>1</sub>) and unpuddled transplanted rice (P<sub>3</sub>). The lowest gross return was found in reduced tillage transplanted rice (P<sub>2</sub>) during both the year. Among nitrogen scheduling treatments, the highest gross return (100763 and 107404 Rs. ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in N<sub>6</sub> (Real Time N Management through LCC) followed by N<sub>4</sub> (120% RDN (50% B + 25% AT + 25% PI), N<sub>2</sub> (100% RDN (50% B + 25% AT + 25% PI), N<sub>5</sub> (120% RDN (40% B + 35% AT + 25% PI) and N<sub>3</sub> (100% RDN (40% B + 35% AT + 25% PI) and the lowest gross return (53494 and 56339 Rs. ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained with N<sub>1</sub> (control) in the year 2019 and 2020, respectively.

#### 3.3.2 Net return (Rs. ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Among the different planting techniques, the net return was highest in furrow irrigated raised beds (P<sub>1</sub>) followed by conventional transplanted rice (P<sub>4</sub>) and unpuddled transplanted rice (P<sub>3</sub>). The lowest net return was found in reduced tillage transplanted rice (P<sub>2</sub>) during both the year. Among nitrogen scheduling treatments, the highest net return (66546 and 71812 Rs. ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in N<sub>6</sub> (Real Time N Management through LCC) followed by N<sub>4</sub> (120% RDN (50% B + 25% AT + 25% PI), N<sub>2</sub> (100% RDN (50% B + 25% AT + 25% PI), N<sub>5</sub> (120% RDN (40% B + 35% AT + 25% PI) and N<sub>3</sub> (100% RDN (40% B + 35% AT + 25% PI) and the lowest net return (24235 and 25747 Rs. ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained with N<sub>1</sub> (control) in the year 2019 and 2020, respectively.




Fig. 3a. Economics of rice as influenced by different planting techniques and nitrogen scheduling (2019)




Fig. 3b. Economics of rice as influenced by different planting techniques and nitrogen scheduling (2020)

### 3.3.3 Benefit: Cost ratio

Among the different planting techniques, the B: C ratio was highest in furrow irrigated raised beds (P<sub>1</sub>) followed by conventional transplanted rice (P<sub>4</sub>) and unpuddled transplanted rice (P<sub>3</sub>). The lowest B:C ratio was found in reduced tillage transplanted rice (P<sub>2</sub>) during both the year. Among nitrogen scheduling treatments, the highest B:C ratio was observed in N<sub>6</sub> (Real Time N Management through LCC) followed by N<sub>4</sub> (120% RDN (50% B + 25% AT + 25% PI), N<sub>2</sub> (100% RDN (50% B + 25% AT + 25% PI), N<sub>5</sub> (120% RDN (40% B + 35% AT + 25% PI) and N<sub>3</sub> (100% RDN (40% B + 35% AT + 25% PI) and the lowest B:C ratio (1.83 and 1.85) was obtained with N<sub>1</sub> (control) in the year 2019 and 2020, respectively.

These economic findings corroborate the findings of Sarnaik [17], Ravi et al. [18], Stalin et al. [19] who also reported that the adoption of real-time N management viz., LCC 4 -based N management is a profitable proposition for N fertilization strategy in rice. Similar result also reported by Kadiyala et al. [20], Naresh et al. [21] and Kumar et al. [22-31].

## 4. CONCLUSION

The data recorded from two-year field experimentation, revealed that basmati rice crop gave the highest yield under conventional puddled transplanted condition with real time

nitrogen management through LCC, however the net return was associated with FIRBs. Therefore, it may be concluded that transplanting of rice on FIRBs with real time nitrogen management through LCC might be a better option to get higher productivity and profitability of basmati rice.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Anonymous. Agriculture Statistics at a glance. Directorate of economics and statistics Department of Agriculture and cooperation Ministry of agriculture Govt. of India New Delhi; 2021.
2. Jain A. Analysis of growth and instability in area, production, yield and price of rice in India. Social change and development. 2018;15(2):46-66.
3. Sadimantara GR, Kadidaa B, Safuan LO. Growth performance and yield stability of selected local upland rice genotypes in Buton Utara of Southeast Sulawesi. In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science. IOP Publishing. 2018 Feb 1;122(1):012094.
4. Naresh RK, Gupta RK, Singh B, Kumar A, Shahi UP. Assessment of no-tillage and direct seeding technologies in rice-wheat



- rotation for saving of water and labour in Western IGP. *Progressive Agriculture and International Journal*. 2010;10(2):205-218.
5. Cochran WG, Cox GM. *Experimental Designs*. John Wiley Publishers. New York; 1970.
  6. Gautam AK, Kumar D, Shivay YS, Mishra BN. Influence of nitrogen levels and plant spacing on growth, productivity and quality of two inbred varieties and a hybrid of aromatic rice. *Archive Agronomy Soil Science*. 2008;54:515-32.
  7. Singh G, Walia SS. Influence of FYM, brown manuring and levels of nitrogen on yield and soil properties of direct seeded and transplanted rice. *Geobios*. 2010;37:210-16.
  8. Naresh RK, Tomar SS, Samsher P, Singh SP, Kumar D, Dwivedi AK. Experiences with rice grown on permanent raised beds: effect of water regime and planting techniques on rice yield, water use, soil properties and water productivity. *Rice Science*. 2014;21(3):170-180.
  9. Kumar S, Singh SS, Sundaram PK, Shivani and Bhatt BP. Agronomic management and production technique of unpuddled mechanical transplanted rice. Technical bulletin no. R-37/Pat-24.ICAR-RCER, Patna; 2015.
  10. Mahajan G, Chauhan BS, Gill MS. Optimal nitrogen fertilization timing and rate in dry seeded rice in North West India. *Agronomy Journal*. 2011;103(16):76-82.
  11. Wang DD, Zhou L, Huang SQ, Li CF, Cao CG. Short-term Effects of Tillage Practices and Wheat-straw Returned to the Field on Topsoil Labile Organic Carbon Fractions and Yields in Central China. *Journal of Agro-Environment Science*. 2011;32(4):735-740.
  12. Bhuyan MHM, Mst. R. Ferdousi, Iqbal MT. Yield and Growth Response to Transplanted Aman Rice under Raised Bed over Conventional Cultivation Method. *ISRN Agronomy*. 2012;6:1-8.
  13. Sharma RP, Pathak SK, Singh RC. Effect of nitrogen and weed management in direct-seeded rice under upland conditions. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2007;52(2):114-19.
  14. Raj SK, Bindhu JS, Girijadevi L. Nitrogen availability and uptake as influenced by time of application and N sources in semi-dry rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Journal Crop Weed*. 2014;10(2):295-302.
  15. Liu X, Wang H, Zhou J, Hu F, Zhu D, Chen Z. Effect of N Fertilization Pattern on Rice Yield, N Use Efficiency and Fertilizer-N Fate in the Yangtze River Basin, China. *PLoS ONE*. 2016;11(11): e0166002. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0166002>
  16. Yousaf M, Xiaokun Li, Zhi Zhang, Tao Ren, Rihuan Cong, Syed Tahir Ata-Ul-Karim, Shah Fahad, Adnan N. Shah, Jianwei LU. Nitrogen Fertilizer Management for Enhancing Crop Productivity and Nitrogen Use Efficiency in a Rice-Oilseed Rape Rotation System in China. *Front Plant Science*. 2016;7:14-26.
  17. Sarnaik PH. Nitrogen management in hybrid rice through leaf colour chart. M.Sc. (Agriculture) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka (India); 2010.
  18. Ravi S, Ramesh S, Chandrasekaran B. Exploitation of hybrid vigour in rice hybrid (*Oryza sativa* L.) through green manure and leaf colour chart (LCC) based N application. *Asian Journal of Plant Science*. 2007;6(2):282-287.
  19. Stalin P, Ramanathan S, Natarajan K, Chandrasekaran B, Buresh R. Performance of site-specific and real-time N management strategies in irrigated rice. *Journal Indian Society of Soil Science*. 2008;56(2):215- 221.
  20. Kadiyala, Mylavarapu L, Reddy R. Impact of aerobic rice cultivation on growth, yield, and water productivity of rice-maize rotation in semiarid tropics. *Agronomy Journal*. 2012;104(6): 1757-1765.
  21. Naresh RK, Singh P, Purushottam, Shahi UP, Singh SP, Gupta RK. Management of crop residues in rice-wheat cropping system on crop productivity and soil properties through conservation effective tillage in north western India. *Journal of Farming Systems Research Development*. 2015;21(1):27-38.
  22. Kumar S, Singh OP, Naresh RK. Evaluation of Establishment Techniques in Rice Cultivars under Different Tillage Systems in western Uttar Pradesh. *Progressive Agriculture an International Journal*. 2016;2(6):58-62.
  23. Ahmed MR, Bari MN, Haque MM, Rahman GKM. Effect of herbicide dose and water management on weed control efficiency and yield performance of boro rice. *Journal*

- of Science Foundation. 2014;12(2): 145-153.
24. Bhowmik MK, Ghosh RK, Pal D. Bio-efficacy of new promising herbicides for weed management in summer rice. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 2000; 32(1&2):32-58.
  25. DeDatta SK, Herdt RW. *Weed Control Technology in Irrigated Rice*. IRRI, Los Banos, Laguna, Philippines. 1983:89-108.
  26. Deepa S, Jayakumar R. Studies on uptake of N, P and K as influenced by different rates (doses) of pretilachlor in transplanted rice. *Madras Agriculture Journal*. 2008;95:333-338.
  27. Jacob D, Syriac EK. Performance of transplanted scented rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under different spacing and weed management regimes in southern Kerala. *Journal of Tropical Agriculture*. 2005;43(1/2): 71-73.
  28. Javaid T, Awan IU, Baloch MS, Shah IH, Nadim MA, Khan EA, Khakwani AA, Abuzar MR. Effect of planting methods on the growth and yield of coarse rice. *Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences*. 2012;22(2):358–362.
  29. Sangeetha M, Jayakumar R, Bharathi C. Effect of slow-release formulations of pretilachlor on growth and yield of lowland transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Green Farming*. 2009;2(2):997-999.
  30. Singh R, Singh G, Tripathi SS, Singh RG, Singh M. Effect of herbicides on weeds in transplanted rice. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 2004;36(31): 184-186.
  31. Suganthi M, Kandasamy OS, Subbian P, Rajkumar R. Bioefficacy Evaluation and Residue Analysis of Pretilachlor for Weed Control in Transplanted Rice-Rice Cropping System. *Madras Agriculture Journal*, 2010;97(4-6): 138-141.

© 2021 Kumar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/78852>