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## Abstract

Let $N=p^{r} q^{s}$ be prime power moduli where $p$ and $q$ are unbalance prime numbers for $2 \leq s<r$. If $q<p<\lambda q$ and $q^{s}<p^{r}<\lambda q^{s}$, and

$$
\phi(N) \approx \lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}}\left(N^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}+N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}}\right)-N^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}}\right)
$$

then

$$
x<\sqrt{\frac{\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}}\left(N^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)-N^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}}\right)}{2 N^{\frac{1+2 \alpha r}{2 r}}}}
$$

[^0]which leads to the factorization of the moduli $N=p^{r} q^{s}$ in polynomial time. The second assaults on s multi prime power moduli are described $N_{i}=p_{i}^{r} q_{i}^{s}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, \omega$. We use lattice basis reduction techniques to obtain the parameters $\left(x, y_{i}\right)$ or $\left(y, x_{i}\right)$ after transforming the system of equations into a simultaneous Diophantine approximation problem, and it resulted in simultaneous factorization of $s$ moduli $N_{i}$ in polynomial time.

Keywords: Unbalance prime numbers; factorization; LLL algorithm; diophantine approximations; continued fraction.

## 1 Introduction

The public key notion arose from an attempt to overcome two issues: key distribution and the development of digital signatures. Whitfield Diffie and Martin Hellman developed the conceptual framework in 1976, and it was a huge success. The same key is used for both encryption and decryption in traditional encryption [1], [2], [3]. This is an optional requirement. Instead, a cryptographic system might be created that uses one key for encryption and another key for decryption. In addition, these algorithms have the following significant feature: Knowing simply the algorithm and the encryption key makes determining the decryption key computationally impossible. Furthermore, some algorithms, such as RSA, have the following properties: For encryption, one of the two associated keys can be utilized, while the other can be used for decryption.

Illustrates the Public key process. The steps are:

1. A pair of keys is generated by each system.
2. Each system makes its encryption key (public key) public while preserving its private key.
3. If $A$ intends to transmit a message to $B$, the message is encrypted using B's public key.
4. When B receives the message, it uses its private key to decrypt it. Because only B has access to the message's private key, no one else can decrypt it.
Public key cryptography is regarded as one of the most significant breakthroughs in the world of information security. Electronic data transmission is occasionally vulnerable to eavesdroppers; this can be mitigated by implementing robust encryption techniques [4], [5]. RSA cryptosystem, created by Rivest, Shamir, and Adleman, is recognized as a fast-growing, dependable, and usable cryptosystem due to its efficiency in ensuring secrecy, integrity, and verification of the entities participating in communication through insecure communication channels [6].

According to Takagi, one of the most important RSA variants in the cryptanalytic attack is multi prime power modulus $N=p^{r} q$ for $r \geq 2$. (1998). Based on his findings, the system decrypted data faster than the usual RSA modulus $N=p q[7]$. Several cryptanalytic assaults on the moduli $N=p^{r} q$ for $r \geq 2$ and $N=p^{r} q^{s} r, s \geq 2$ with $r>s$ have been reported since then, utilizing various approaches detailed in [8], [9], [10], [11], [12] and [13].

The prime power moduli $N=p^{r} q^{s}$ are among the RSA cryptosystem variants that have been observed to be more efficient in both encryption and decryption than the normal RSA modulus $N=p q$, according to [14]. Using complicated mathematics and logic, the cryptosystem achieves all cryptographic goals such as privacy and authenticity in digital communication channels. The integer factorization problem is integrated in the cryptosystem's security [15], [16], [17],[18], [19]. The prime power moduli go through the same key generation, encryption, and decryption operations as regular RSA and its variants, with the exception that the decryption phase is faster.

Lim et al. presented a cryptoanalytic attack on the prime power moduli $N=p^{r} q^{s}$, where they used Takagi's approach to discover prime factors $(p, q)$ when $\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1$. They demonstrated that their technique decrypted
data 15 times faster than the usual RSA cryptosystem, according to [14]. Lu et al. (2015) reported another partial key exposure attack on the moduli $N=p^{r} q^{s}$ where $g c d(r, s)=1$, and the authors prove that $\min \left(\frac{l}{r+l}, \frac{2(r-l)}{r+l}\right)$ fraction of least significant bit(s) (LSBs) or most significant bit(s) (MSBs) of $p$ is required to factor $N$ in polynomial time [20].

Theorem 1.1. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\frac{p}{q}$ be a rational fraction such that $\operatorname{gcd}(p, q)=1$ and $q<b$ if $x=\frac{a}{b}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$. If

$$
\left|x-\frac{p}{q}\right|<\frac{1}{2 q^{2}},
$$

then $\frac{p}{q}$ is a convergent of the continued fraction expansion of $x$.
Theorem 1.2. (Simultaneous Diophantine Approximations) There is a polynomial time algorithm, for given rational numbers of the form $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ and $0<\varepsilon<1$, to compute integers $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ and a positive integer $q$ such that

$$
\max _{i}\left|q \alpha_{i}-p_{i}\right|<\varepsilon \quad \text { and } \quad q \leq 2^{\frac{n(n-3)}{4}}[12] .
$$

Theorem 1.3. Let $N=p^{r} q^{s}$ be a known integer of unknown factorization with $\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1$ and $p=N^{\alpha}$, $q=N^{\beta}$. Suppose that $\xi$ LSBs or MSBs of $p^{u} q^{v}$ are known, where $u$, $v$ are two selected non-negative integers satisfying $\frac{u}{r}>\frac{v}{s}$. Then one can recover the prime factors $p$ and $q$ in polynomial time if $\xi=\alpha \beta(s u-r v) \log _{2} N$ [21].

Theorem 1.4. Let $N=p^{2} q$ be a Prime Power RSA modulus with unknown factors $p, q$ such that $q<p<2 q$. Let $a, b$ be suitably small integers with $g c d(a, b)=1$ such that $\left|a p^{2}-b q^{2}\right|<N^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Let e be a public exponent satisfying the equation e $X-N Y=a p^{2}+b q^{2}+Z$ with $g c d(X, Y)=1$. If $X<\frac{N}{3\left(a p^{2}+b q^{2}\right)}$ and $|Z|<\frac{\mid\left(a p^{2}-b q^{2} \mid\right.}{3\left(\left(a p^{2}+b q^{2}\right)\right.} N^{1 / 3}$. Using the continued fraction algorithm he showed that every exponent $e$ in these classes yields the factorization of $N$ in polynomial time, and the number of such weak keys is at least $N^{\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon}$ where $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrarily small for large N [9].

Theorem 1.5. Suppose that $N=p^{r} q$ is a prime power modulus with $q<p<2 q$, and $e<\phi(N)<N-$ $\left(2^{\frac{2 r+1}{r+1}} N^{\frac{r}{r+1}}-2^{\frac{r-1}{r+1}} N^{\frac{r-1}{r+1}}\right)$ satisfying an equation
ed $-k \phi(N)=1$ for some unknown integers $\phi(N)$, d,k. If $\phi(N)>\frac{3}{4} N$ with $N>8 d$ and from lemma 3.2, $\left|\left(2^{\frac{2 r+1}{r+1}} p^{\frac{r^{2}-r-1}{r+1}} q^{\frac{r}{r+1}}\right)\right|\left(p+2^{-\frac{2 r+1}{r+1}} p^{\frac{r}{r+1}} q^{-\frac{r}{r+1}}\right)<\frac{1}{8} N^{\omega}$ where $\omega<1$, and $d<N^{\delta}$. If $\delta<\frac{1-\omega}{2}$, then

$$
\left|\frac{e}{N-\left(2^{\frac{2 r+1}{r+1}} N^{\frac{r}{r+1}}-2^{\frac{r-1}{r+1}} N^{\frac{r-1}{r+1}}\right)}-\frac{k}{d}\right|<\frac{1}{2 d^{2}}
$$

[10].
Theorem 1.6. Suppose that $N_{i}=p_{i}^{r} q_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ for $n \geq 2$, be $n \operatorname{moduli}$. Let $N=\min N_{i}$ and $e_{i}$, $i=1, \ldots, n$, be $n$ public exponents. Define $\delta=\frac{n \kappa-\omega n+n}{(n+1)}$ where $0<\omega \leq 1$. Let $1<e_{i}<\phi\left(N_{i}\right)<N_{i}-\psi$ where $\psi=N_{i}-2^{\frac{2 r+1}{r+1}} N_{i}^{\frac{r}{r+1}}-2^{\frac{r-1}{r+1}} N_{i}^{\frac{r-1}{r+1}}$. If there exist an integer $d<N^{\delta}$ and $n$ integers $k_{i}<N^{\delta}$ such that

$$
e_{i} d-k_{i} \phi\left(N_{i}\right)=1
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, n$, then one can factor the $n$ moduli $N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n}$ in polynomial time [10].

Theorem 1.7. Let $N=p^{2} q^{2}$ be a multi prime power modulus with $q^{2}<p^{2}<2 q^{2}$ and the relation $1<e<$ $\phi(N)<N+2^{\frac{1}{4}} N^{\frac{1}{2}}-\left(2^{\frac{1}{2}} N^{\frac{3}{4}}+2^{\frac{1}{4}} N^{\frac{3}{4}}\right)$ hold satisfies equation ed $-k \phi(N)=1$ for some unknown integers $\phi(N)$, $d$, and $k$. If $d<\frac{1}{2}\left(N+2^{\frac{1}{2}} N^{\frac{3}{4}}-\xi\right) N^{-\delta}$, then

$$
\left|\frac{e}{N+2^{\frac{1}{2}} N^{\frac{1}{4}}-\xi}-\frac{k}{d}\right|<\frac{1}{2 d^{2}}
$$

[8].
Theorem 1.8. Let $N_{i}=p_{i}^{2} q_{i}^{2}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ be $n$ prime power moduli and $N=\min \left\{N_{i}\right\}, e_{i}, h_{2 i}=\left(p_{i}-1\right)\left(q_{i}-1\right)$ be $n$ public exponents. Define $\delta=\frac{n-\gamma n}{(n+1)}$ where $0<\gamma \leq \frac{4}{5}$. Let $1<e_{i}<\phi\left(N_{i}\right)<N_{i}-\xi$ where $\xi=2 N^{\frac{3}{4}}+N^{\frac{1}{2}}$. If there exist an integer $d<N^{\delta}$ and $n$ integers $k_{i}<N^{\delta}$ such that

$$
e_{i} d-k_{i} \phi\left(N_{i}\right)=1
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, n$, then one can factor $n$ moduli $N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n}$ in polynomial time [8].

## 2 Attack Using Continued Fraction Method to Factoring $N=$ $p^{r} q^{s}$

In this section, we present results using continued fractions to factor multi prime power modulus $N=p^{r} q^{s}$ with $2 \leq s<r$ for some unknown parameters $(\phi(N), x, y, p, q)$ using one of the appropriate approximation of $\phi(N)$ given as $\phi(N) \approx \lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}}\left(N^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}+N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}}\right)-N^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}}\right)$ where ( $N, e$ ) are public keys satisfying key equation $e x^{2}-y^{2} \phi(N)=z$. Let $N=p^{r} q^{s}$ be prime power moduli where $p$ and $q$ are unbalance prime numbers for $2 \leq s<r$. If $q<p<\lambda q$ and $q^{s}<p^{r}<\lambda q^{s}$, then

$$
\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 r}} N^{\frac{1}{2 r}}<q<N^{\frac{1}{2 r}}<p<\lambda^{\frac{1}{2 r}} N^{\frac{1}{2 r}}
$$

and approximation of

$$
\phi(N) \approx \lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}}\left(N^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}+N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}}\right)-N^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}}\right)
$$

Proof. Suppose $N=p^{r} q^{s}, q<p<\lambda q$ and $q^{s}<p^{r}<\lambda q^{s}$ for $2 \leq s<r$ with $\lambda>2$, then multiplying by $p^{r}$ gives $p^{r} q^{s}<p^{2 r}<\lambda p^{r} q^{s}$ which implies $N<p^{2 r}<\lambda N$, that is $N^{\frac{1}{2 r}}<p<\lambda^{\frac{1}{2 r}} N^{\frac{1}{2 r}}$. Also, since $N=p^{r} q^{s}$, then $q^{s}=\frac{N}{p^{r}}$ which in turn implies $\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}} N^{\frac{1}{2 s}}<q<N^{\frac{1}{2 s}}$. Since $p$ and $q$ are unbalance prime numbers, for $\lambda>2$, we have

$$
\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 r}} N^{\frac{1}{2 r}}<q<N^{\frac{1}{2 r}}<p<\lambda^{\frac{1}{2 r}} N^{\frac{1}{2 r}}
$$

Also, from the given modulus $N=p^{r} q^{s}$ the eulers totian function can be defining as $\phi(N)=p^{r-1} q^{s-1}(p-$ 1) $(q-1)$, which allawed us to compute a better approximation of $\phi(N)$ using the primes $p \approx \lambda^{\frac{1}{2 r}} N^{\frac{1}{2 r}}$ and $q \approx \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 r}} N^{\frac{1}{2 r}}$ as follows:

$$
\phi(N)=p^{r-1} q^{s-1}(p q-(p+q)+1)
$$

But $p+q=\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 r}} N^{\frac{1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{1}{2 r}} N^{\frac{1}{2 r}}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
p q & =\lambda^{\frac{1}{2 r}} N^{\frac{1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 r}} N^{\frac{1}{2 r}}\right) \\
& =\lambda^{\frac{1}{2 r}-\frac{1}{2 r}} N^{\frac{1}{2 r}+\frac{1}{2 r}} \\
& =\lambda^{0} N^{\frac{2}{2 r}} \\
& =N^{\frac{1}{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

With

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{r-1} q^{s-1} & =\left(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2 r}} N^{\frac{1}{2 r}}\right)^{r-1}\left(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 r}} N^{\frac{1}{2 r}}\right)^{s-1} \\
& =\lambda^{\frac{r-1}{2 r}} N^{\frac{r-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{1-s}{2 r}} N^{\frac{s-1}{2 r}}\right) \\
& =\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(N) & =\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\left(N^{\frac{1}{r}}-\left(N^{\frac{1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{1}{2 r}}\right)\right)+1\right) \\
& =\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\left(N^{\frac{1}{r}}-N^{\frac{1}{2 r}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 r}}-N^{\frac{1}{2 r}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2 r}}+1\right) \\
& =\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}+\frac{1}{r}}-\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}-\frac{1}{2 r}} N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}+\frac{1}{2 r}}-\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}+\frac{1}{2 r}} N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}+\frac{1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}} \\
& =\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}-\lambda^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}} N^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}-\lambda^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}} N^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}} \\
& =\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}}\left(N^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)-N^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Theorem 2.1. Let $N=p^{r} q^{s}$ be a multi prime power modulus with condition $q<p<\lambda q$ and $q^{s}<p^{r}<\lambda q^{s}$ where $p$ and $q$ are distinct unbalance prime numbers and $2 \leq s<r$ with $\lambda>2$. Also, suppose that $(e, N)$ and $(x, p, q, \phi(N))$ are public and private key tuples respectively such that $e x^{2}-y^{2} \phi(N)=z$ where $1<e<$ $\phi(N)<\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}}\left(N^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)-N^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}}\right)$. Let $W=p^{r-2} q^{s-2}(p-1)(q-1)$ be known. If $p \approx \lambda^{\frac{1}{2 r}} N^{\frac{1}{2 r}}$ and $q \approx \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 r}} N^{\frac{1}{2 r}}$ and $z<N^{\frac{1+2 \alpha r}{2 r}}$ then

$$
x<\sqrt{\frac{\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}}\left(N^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)-N^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}}\right)}{2 N^{\frac{1+2 \alpha r}{2 r}}}}
$$

and $\left|\frac{e}{\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}}\left(N^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)-N^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}}\right)}-\frac{y^{2}}{x^{2}}\right|<\frac{1}{2\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}}$, which leads to the factorization of $N$ into unbalance prime factors $p$ and $q$ in polynomial time.

Proof. Let $N=p^{r} q^{s}$ be the multi prime power modulus satisfying the key equation $e x^{2}-y^{2} \phi(N)=z$ where $\phi(N)=p^{r-1} q^{s-1}(p-1)(q-1)$, and suppose that $z=N^{\frac{1+2 \alpha r}{2 r}}$, then we can have the following

$$
\begin{array}{r}
e x^{2}-y^{2}\left(p^{r-1} q^{s-1}(p-1)(q-1)\right)=z \\
e x^{2}-y^{2}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}}\left(N^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)-N^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}}\right)\right)=z
\end{array}
$$

Dividing by $x^{2}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}}\left(N^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)-N^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}}\right)\right)$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{e}{\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}}\left(N^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)-N^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}}\right)\right)}-\frac{y^{2}}{x^{2}}\right| \\
& =\left|\frac{z}{x^{2}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}}\left(N^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)-N^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}}\right)\right)}\right| \\
& =\frac{N^{\frac{1+2 \alpha r}{2 r}}}{x^{2}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}}\left(N^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)-N^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}}\right)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, from Theorem 1.1 we can write

$$
\frac{N^{\frac{1+2 \alpha r}{2 r}}}{x^{2}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}}\left(N^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)-N^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}}\right)\right)}<\frac{1}{2\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

then

$$
x<\sqrt{\frac{\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}}\left(N^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)-N^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}}\right)\right)}{N^{\frac{1+2 \alpha r}{2 r}}}}
$$

Hence $\frac{y^{2}}{x^{2}}$ can be found from the convergents of the continued fractions expansion of $\frac{\left.\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}}\left(N^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)-N^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}}\right)\right)}{}$.

```
Algorithm 1 : An ouline on how Theorem 2.1 works
    Initialization: The public key pair \((N, e)\) and \(Z\) satisfying Theorem 2.1.
    Choose \(r, s\), to be suitable small positive integers where \(2 \leq s<r\).
    for any \((r, s)\) do
        The convergents \(\frac{y^{2}}{x^{2}}\) of the continued fractions expansion of
    \(\frac{e}{\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}}\left(N^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)-N^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}}\right)\right)}\).
    end for
    Compute \(\phi(N):=\frac{e x^{2}-z}{y^{2}}\)
    Compute \(H:=\operatorname{gcd}(\phi(N), N)\)
    Compute \(p^{r-2}:=\operatorname{gcd}(Z, H)\)
    Compute \(q^{s}:=\frac{N}{p^{r}}\)
    return prime factors \(p\) and \(q\).
```


### 2.1 Attacks on Generalized System of Equations Using

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi\left(N_{i}\right)<\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}}\left(N^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)-N^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}}\right)\right) \text { as App-roximation } \\
& \text { of } \phi(N)
\end{aligned}
$$

In this section, we show that if $e_{i}<\phi\left(N_{i}\right)<\phi\left(N_{i}\right)<\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}}\left(N^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)-N^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}}\right)\right)$, then $N_{i}=p_{i}^{r} q_{i}^{s}$, can be factored simultaneously using simultaneous Diophantine Approximation and lattice basis reduction methods for $i=1, \ldots, j$ and $2 \leq s<r$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $N_{i}=p_{i}^{r} q_{i}^{s}$ be the multi prime power moduli where $q<p<\lambda q, q^{s}<p^{r}<\lambda q^{s} 2 \leq$ $s<r, \lambda>2$ and $J_{i}=p_{i}^{r-2} q_{i}^{s-2}\left(p_{i}-1\right)\left(q_{i}-1\right)$ be known. Let $\left(e_{i}, N_{i}\right)$ and $\left(x, p_{i}, q_{i}, \phi\left(N_{i}\right)\right)$ be public and private key tuples satisfying the equestion of the form $e_{i} x^{2}-y_{i}^{2}(\phi(N))=z$ such that $1<e_{i}<\phi\left(N_{i}\right)<$ $\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}}\left(N^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)-N^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}}\right)\right)$. Let $N=\max \left\{N_{i}\right\}$, define $\Lambda=\frac{r+s(\omega)-r+s(\alpha \omega)-r \xi \omega}{r+s(1+3 \omega)}$ for $0<\alpha, \xi<1$. If there exists integers $x, y_{i}<N^{\Lambda}$, then one can simultaneously factor $\omega$ moduli $N_{1}, \ldots, N_{\omega}$ in polynomial time for $i=1, \ldots, \omega$,.

Proof. Suppose $N_{i}=p_{i}^{r} q_{i}^{s}$ be $\omega$ multi prime power moduli and $N=\max \left\{N_{i}\right\}$, let $W=\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}+$ $\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}$ if $y_{i}<N^{\Lambda}$, then $e_{i} x^{2}-y_{i}^{2} \phi\left(N_{i}\right)=z$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
e_{i} x^{2}-y_{i}^{2}\left(p_{i}^{r-1} q_{i}^{s-1}\left(p_{i}-1\right)\left(q_{i}-1\right)\right)=z \\
e_{i} x^{2}-y_{i}^{2}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}}\left(N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)-N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}}+\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}}\right)\right)=z_{i} \\
e_{i} x^{2}-y_{i}^{2}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}-\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}-\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\right)=z_{i} \\
e_{i} x^{2}-y_{i}^{2}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}-W_{i}+W_{i}-\left(\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}-\phi\left(N_{i}\right)+\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)+\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)=z_{i} \\
e_{i} x^{2}-y_{i}^{2}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}-W_{i}+\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)=z_{i}+y_{i}^{2}\left(W_{i}-\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+\phi\left(N_{i}\right)-\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right) \\
\left\lvert\, \frac{e_{i}}{\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}-W_{i}+\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}} x^{2}-y_{i}^{2} \left\lvert\,=\frac{\left|z_{i}+y_{i}^{2}\left(W_{i}-\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+\phi\left(N_{i}\right)-\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)\right|}{\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}-W_{i}+\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}}\right.} .\right.
\end{array}
$$

Suppose $N=\max \left\{N_{i}\right\}$ and $y_{i}<N^{\Lambda},\left|\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}-W_{i}+\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right|>\frac{r}{r+s} N$, for $r, s>0$ with $r>s$ and $\left|W_{i}-\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+\phi\left(N_{i}\right)-\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right|<N^{\Lambda+\frac{r}{r+s} \xi}$ for $0<\xi, \Lambda<1, z_{i}<N^{\frac{1}{r}+\alpha}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{z_{i}+y_{i}^{2}\left(W_{i}-\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+\phi\left(N_{i}\right)-\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)}{\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}-W_{i}+\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}}\right| & \leq \left\lvert\, \frac{z_{i}+y_{i}^{2}\left(N^{\Lambda+\frac{r}{r+s} \xi}\right)}{\left.\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}-W_{i}+\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}} \right\rvert\,}\right. \\
& <\frac{N^{\frac{1}{r}+\alpha}+N^{\Lambda}\left(N^{\Lambda+\frac{r}{r+s} \xi}\right)}{\frac{r}{r+s} N} \\
& <\frac{N^{\frac{1}{r}+\alpha}+N^{2 \Lambda+\Lambda+\frac{r}{r+s} \xi}}{\frac{r}{r+s} N} \\
& <\frac{N^{2 \Lambda+\Lambda+\frac{r}{r+s} \xi+\alpha-1}}{\frac{r}{r+s}} \\
& <\frac{r}{r+s} N^{3 \Lambda+\frac{r}{r+s} \xi+\alpha-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies

$$
\left|\frac{e_{i}}{\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}-W_{i}+\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}} x^{2}-y_{i}^{2}\right|<\frac{r}{r+s} N^{3 \Lambda+\frac{r}{r+s} \xi+\alpha-1}
$$

For the unknown integer positive integer $x$, we assume that $\varepsilon=\frac{r}{r+s} N^{3 \Lambda+\frac{r}{r+s} \xi+\alpha-1}$, with $\Lambda=\frac{r+s(\omega)-r+s(\alpha \omega)-r \xi \omega}{r+s(1+3 \omega)}$, then

$$
N^{\Lambda} \varepsilon^{\omega}=\left(\frac{r}{r+s}\right)^{\omega} N^{3 \Lambda+\frac{r}{r+s} \xi+\alpha-1}=\left(\frac{r}{r+s}\right)^{\omega}
$$

For $\left(\frac{r}{r+s}\right)^{\omega}<2^{\frac{\omega(\omega-3)}{4}} \cdot 3^{\omega}$ with $\omega \geq 2$, we get $N^{\Lambda} \varepsilon^{\omega}<2^{\frac{\omega(\omega-3)}{4}} \cdot 3^{\omega}$. It follows that if $x<N^{\Lambda}$ then $x<$ $2^{\frac{\omega(\omega-3)}{4}} \cdot 3^{\omega} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\omega}$. Hence

$$
\left|\frac{e_{i}}{\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}-W_{i}+\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-s}{2 r}}} x^{2}-y_{i}^{2}\right|<\varepsilon, \quad x<2^{\frac{\omega(\omega-3)}{4}} \cdot 3^{\omega} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\omega} .
$$

Using Theorem 1.2, we can obtain the unknown parameters $x$ and $y_{i}$. One can observe that from $e_{i} x^{2}-y_{i}^{2} \phi\left(N_{i}\right)=$ $z_{i}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(N_{i}\right) & =\frac{e_{i} x^{2}-z}{y_{i}^{2}} \\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(\phi\left(N_{i}\right), N_{i}\right) & =H_{i} \\
p_{i}^{r-2} & =\operatorname{gcd}\left(J_{i}, H_{i}\right) \\
q_{j}^{s} & =\frac{N_{i}}{p_{i}^{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, the prime factors ( $p_{i}, q_{i}$ ) of the prime power moduli $N_{i}$ can be found simultaneously in polynomial time for $N_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, \omega$.

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{1}=\frac{e_{1}}{\lambda_{1}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{1}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}-W_{1}+\lambda_{1}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{1}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}}, \Psi_{2}=\frac{e_{2}}{\lambda_{2}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{2}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}-W_{2}+\lambda_{2}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{2}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}} \\
\Psi_{3}=\frac{e_{3}}{\lambda_{3}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{3}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}-W_{3}+\lambda_{3}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{3}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

```
Algorithm 2: An ouline on how Theorem 2.2 works
    Initialization: The public key pair \(\left(N_{i}, e_{i}\right)\) and \(J_{2 i}\) satisfying Theorem 2.2.
    Choose \(r, s, t \geq 2, r>s\) and \(N=\max \left\{N_{i}\right\}\) for \(i=1, \ldots, \omega\).
    for any \((N, \omega, \Lambda)\) do
        \(\varepsilon:=\frac{r}{r+s} N^{3 \Lambda+\frac{r}{r+s} \xi+\alpha-1}\) where \(\Lambda=\frac{r+s(\omega)-r+s(\alpha \omega)-r \xi \omega}{r+s(1+3 \omega)}\)
        \(\mu:=\left[3^{\omega+1} \times 2^{\frac{(\omega+1)(\omega-4)}{4}} \times \varepsilon^{-\omega-1}\right]\) for \(\omega \geq 2\).
    end for
    Consider the lattice \(\mathcal{L}\) spanned by the matrix \(D\) as stated below.
    Applying the LLL algorithm to \(\mathcal{L}\) yields the reduced basis matrix \(F\).
    for any \((D, F)\) do
        \(R:=D^{-1}\)
        \(U=R F\).
    end for
    Produce \(x, y_{i}\) from \(U\)
    for each triplet \(\left(x, y_{i}, e_{i}\right)\) do
        \(\phi\left(N_{i}\right):=\frac{e_{i} x^{2}-z_{i}}{y_{i}^{2}}\)
        \(H_{i}:=\operatorname{gcd}\left(\phi\left(N_{i}\right), N_{i}\right)\)
        \(p_{i}^{r-2}:=\operatorname{gcd}\left(J_{2 i}, H_{i}\right)\)
        \(q_{i}^{s}:=\frac{N_{i}}{p_{i}^{r}}\)
    end for
    return the prime factors \(\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right)\).
```

Example 2.3. We consider the following three prime power moduli and their three public exponents respectively.
$N_{1}=30547462777418192734300011829012850136616057013386865937024462445700407515743169919$
$N_{2}=19801671372526055840282235881678051303754688669545711332940157933191562132951552050283$
$N_{3}=15494833653326715590612681690254814068206695895885269863560044699223424589474977410829$ $e_{1}=26785686609020686026410310038255985292116049663757445712183771166964838716166145133$ $e_{2}=8447487518936057807108730931124815951433849837849755936229954172922270355243957212802$ $e_{3}=12696668631371641094184299664227725212654063183751801419808568235277615999743624692637$
Let the following integers be known

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{21}=1239145542053020092694574699245905558838659577320576 \\
& J_{22}=103482342159245180813597767338949835437286140201074792 \\
& J_{23}=114295667357708162877255778471568250899657840285427936
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $N=\min \left(N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}\right)=19801671372526055840282235881678051303754688669545711$ $332940157933191562132951552050283, \omega=3$ with $\Lambda=\frac{(r+s)(\omega)-(r+s)(\alpha \omega)-r \xi \omega}{(r+s)(1+3 \omega)}=0.09421400400$ and $\varepsilon:=\frac{r}{r+s} N^{3 \Lambda+\frac{r}{r+s} \xi+\alpha-1}=0.001257293434$.Using Theorem 1.8, we obtain

$$
\mu=\left[3^{\omega+1} \cdot 2^{\frac{(\omega+1)(\omega-4)}{4}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\omega-1}\right]=16207216480000
$$

Consider the lattice $\mathcal{L}$ spanned by the matrix

$$
D=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -\left[\mu \eta_{1}\right] & -\left[\mu \eta_{2}\right] & -\left[\mu \eta_{3}\right] \\
0 & \mu & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mu & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \mu
\end{array}\right]
$$

Therefore, applying the LLL algorithm to $\mathcal{L}$, we obtain the reduced basis as indicated below

$$
F=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
5147099 & 144040944 & 96509008 & 420341551 \\
-257153302 & 16734521888 & 7395698016 & -7564654398 \\
15083903031 & 13050364336 & -11316744048 & -2254846181 \\
{[21518106489} & -12096919216 & 4561771888 & 2805288661
\end{array}\right]
$$

Next, we compute

$$
U=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
5147099 & 4513258 & 2195777 & 4217600 \\
-257153302 & -225486084 & -109702826 & -210714767 \\
15083903031 & 13226391415 & 6434865027 & 12359946724 \\
21518106489 & 18868253021 & 9179726932 & 17632216891
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then, from the first row of matrix $U$ we get $x=5147099, y_{1}=4513258, y_{2}=2195777, y_{3}=4217600$. Hence using $x$ and $y_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3$, we compute $B_{i}=\frac{e_{i} x^{2}-z_{i}}{y_{i}^{2}}=\phi\left(N_{i}\right)=p_{i}^{r-1} q_{i}^{s-1}\left(p_{i}-1\right)\left(q_{i}-1\right)$
$B_{1}=30547462777355906537107003496719519167985793656882962389416996854536912002624277376$
$B_{2}=19801671372470093366909090434439202641620354465206002672226396803270115691714512409368$
$B_{3}=15494833653230354349448718374774008965839936447037583776577973671099483600783481340256$
Applying Algorithm 2 gives $H_{i}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(\phi\left(N_{i}\right), N_{i}\right)$ and $p_{i}^{r-2}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(J_{i}, H_{i}\right)$, for $i=1,2,3$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{1}=1239145542055546707233585268210243938944942757051969 \\
& H_{2}=103482342159537637329451716190645984353809893612268677 \\
& H_{3}=114295667358418959302227796272660462134606070528374449 \\
& p_{1}=50265440556693931519 \\
& p_{2}=540792488541210887563 \\
& p_{3}=843087436056575221669
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we compute $q_{i}^{s}:=\frac{N_{i}}{p_{i}^{r}}$ for $i=1,2,3$, that is

$$
q_{1}=490437118529, q_{2}=353838388333, q_{3}=160799440409 .
$$

This leads to the simultaneous factorization of three moduli $N_{1}, N_{2}$ and $N_{3}$ in polynomial time.
Theorem 2.4. Let $N_{i}=p_{i}^{r} q_{i}^{s}$ be $\omega$ multi prime power moduli $2 \leq s<r, q<p<\lambda q$ for $\lambda>2$ and $J_{i}=p_{i}^{r-2} q_{i}^{s-2}\left(p_{i}-1\right)\left(q_{i}-1\right)$ be known integer where $\left(e_{i}, N_{i}\right)$ are $\omega$ public exponents and ( $\left.x_{i}, p_{i}, q_{i}, \phi\left(N_{i}\right)\right)$ are private keys for $e_{i}<\phi\left(N_{i}\right)<\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}}\left(N^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+N^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)-N^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}}+\lambda^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}}\right)\right)$, with $e=\min \left\{e_{i}\right\}=N^{\gamma}$ and $N=\max \left\{N_{i}\right\}$ satisfying $e_{i} x_{i}^{2}-y^{2}\left(\phi\left(N_{i}\right)\right)=z_{i}$. If $x_{i}, y<N^{\Lambda}$ for $\Lambda=\frac{(r+s) \gamma \omega-r \omega \xi}{(r+s)(1+3 \omega)}$ such that $e_{i} x_{i}^{2}-y^{2} \phi\left(N_{i}\right)=z_{i}$ holds, then prime factors $p_{i}$ and $q_{i}$ of $\omega$ prime power moduli $N_{i}$ can be simultaneously factored in polynomial time for $i=1, \cdots, \omega$ and $0<\gamma, \omega, \xi<1$.

Proof. Suppose $N_{i}=p_{i}^{r} q_{i}^{s}$ be $\omega$ multi prime power moduli and $N=\max \left\{N_{i}\right\}, e=\min \left\{e_{i}\right\}$, let $W=$ $\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}+\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}$ if $y_{i}<N^{\Lambda}$, then $e_{i} x_{i}^{2}-y^{2} \phi\left(N_{i}\right)=z_{i}$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
e_{i} x_{i}^{2}-y^{2}\left(p_{i}^{r-1} q_{i}^{s-1}\left(p_{i}-1\right)\left(q_{i}-1\right)\right)=z_{i} \\
e_{i} x_{i}^{2}-y^{2}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}}\left(N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)-N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}}+\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}}\right)\right)=z_{i} \\
e_{i} x_{i}^{2}-y^{2}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}-\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s+1}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}-\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s-1}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-1}{2 r}}\right)=z_{i} \\
e_{i} x_{i}^{2}-y^{2}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}-W_{i}+W_{i}-\left(\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}-\phi\left(N_{i}\right)+\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)+\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)=z_{i} \\
e_{i} x_{i}^{2}-y^{2}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}-W_{i}+\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)=z_{i}+y^{2}\left(W_{i}-\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+\phi\left(N_{i}\right)-\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right) \\
\left|\frac{\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}-W_{i}+\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}}{e_{i}} x_{i}^{2}-y^{2}\right|=\frac{\left|z_{i}+y_{i}^{2}\left(W_{i}-\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+\phi\left(N_{i}\right)-\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)\right|}{e_{i}} .
\end{array}
$$

Suppose $N=\max \left\{N_{i}\right\}, e=\min \left\{e_{i}\right\}$ and $y_{i}<N^{\Lambda}$, for $r, s>0$ with $r>s$ and

$$
\left|W_{i}-\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+\phi\left(N_{i}\right)-\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right|<N^{\Lambda+\frac{r}{r+s} \xi} \text { for } 0<\xi, \Lambda<1, z_{i}<N^{\frac{1}{r}+\alpha}
$$

$$
\left|\frac{z_{i}+y_{i}^{2}\left(W_{i}-\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}+\phi\left(N_{i}\right)-\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}\right)}{e_{i}}\right| \leq\left|\frac{z_{i}+y_{i}^{2}\left(N^{\Lambda+\frac{r}{r+s} \xi}\right)}{e_{i}}\right|
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <\frac{N^{\frac{1}{r}+\alpha}+N^{2 \Lambda}\left(N^{\Lambda+\frac{r}{r+s} \xi}\right)}{N^{\gamma}} \\
& <N^{\frac{1}{r}+\alpha}+N^{2 \Lambda+\Lambda+\frac{r}{r+s} \xi-\gamma} \\
& <\frac{1}{r+\alpha} N^{3 \Lambda+\frac{r}{r+s} \xi-\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies

$$
\left|\frac{\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}-W_{i}+\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}}{e_{i}} x_{i}^{2}-y^{2}\right|<\frac{1}{r+\alpha} N^{3 \Lambda+\frac{r}{r+s} \xi-\gamma} .
$$

For the unknown integer positive integer $x$, we assume that $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{r+\alpha} N^{3 \Lambda+\frac{r}{r+s} \xi-\gamma}$, with $\Lambda=\Lambda=\frac{(r+s) \gamma \omega-r \omega \xi}{(r+s)(1+3 \omega)}$, then

$$
N^{\Lambda} \varepsilon^{\omega}=\left(\frac{1}{r+\alpha}\right)^{\omega} N^{3 \Lambda+\frac{r}{r+s} \xi-\gamma}=\left(\frac{1}{r+\alpha}\right)^{\omega}
$$

For $\left(\frac{1}{r+\alpha}\right)^{\omega}<2^{\frac{\omega(\omega-3)}{4}} \cdot 3^{\omega}$ with $\omega \geq 2$, we get $N^{\Lambda} \varepsilon^{\omega}<2^{\frac{\omega(\omega-3)}{4}} \cdot 3^{\omega}$. It follows that if $y<N^{\Lambda}$ then $y<2^{\frac{\omega(\omega-3)}{4}} \cdot 3^{\omega} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\omega}$. Hence

$$
\left|\frac{\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}-W_{i}+\lambda_{i}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{i}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}}{e_{i}} x_{i}^{2}-y^{2}\right|<\varepsilon, \quad y<2^{\frac{\omega(\omega-3)}{4}} \cdot 3^{\omega} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\omega} .
$$

Using Theorem 1.2, we can obtain the unknown parameters $x$ and $y_{i}$. One can observe that from $e_{i} x_{i}^{2}-$ $y^{2} \phi\left(N_{i}\right)=z_{i}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(N_{i}\right) & =\frac{e_{i} x_{i}^{2}-z_{i}}{y^{2}} \\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(\phi\left(N_{i}\right), N_{i}\right) & =H_{i} \\
p_{i}^{r-2} & =\operatorname{gcd}\left(J_{i}, H_{i}\right) \\
q_{j}^{s} & =\frac{N_{i}}{p_{i}^{r}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, the prime factors ( $p_{i}, q_{i}$ ) of the prime power moduli $N_{i}$ can be found simultaneously in polynomial time for $N_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, \omega$.

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{1}=\frac{\lambda_{1}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{1}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}-W_{1}+\lambda_{1}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{1}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}}{e_{1}}, \Psi_{2}=\frac{\lambda_{2}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{2}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}-W_{2}+\lambda_{2}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{2}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}}{e_{2}} \\
\Psi_{3}=\frac{\lambda_{3}^{\frac{r-s}{2 r}} N_{3}^{\frac{r+s}{2 r}}-W_{3}+\lambda_{3}^{\frac{r-s}{\frac{2 r}{}}} N_{3}^{\frac{r+s-2}{2 r}}}{e_{3}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Example 2.5. We consider the following three prime power and their three public exponents respectively
$N_{1}=634070324848957314669977678340286461664901470930238707620212520710783179710841906596021888899$
$N_{2}=86131250307897498850501571058029873775065752373813078555352866785239909966116443043787627719467$
$N_{3}=69118983900483202882740782464482801194280890635073678515559657391285501267128304826064497288441$
$e_{1}=120944112149813860610949379638289758290206224608062926714879400676192029966094479013735464610$
$e_{2}=17522471807140063046381287795838038606575676893295921580676061567572456840916828620252852895269$
$e_{3}=45777674664456091785127993287675440474981487535946364047446307733021036942304011931711601122295$

Also, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{21}=15843927462016570143700298963386472627716278493866758425776 \\
& J_{22}=286045938486841534570405941599631591835243299469677171676284 \\
& J_{23}=224020891530204425264055470874593258917156685795650965642192
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, one can observe that

$$
\begin{gathered}
N=\min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}\right\}=6340703248489573146699776783402864616649014709302387076202125207 \\
10783179710841906596021888899
\end{gathered}
$$

and $\min \left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}=N^{\gamma}$ with $\gamma=0.32$ and $\omega=3$ we get $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{r+\alpha} N^{3 \Lambda+\frac{r}{r+s} \xi-\gamma}=0.06438580265$ and $\Lambda=$ $\frac{(r+s) \gamma \omega-r \omega \xi}{(r+s)(1+3 \omega)}=-0.7989842078$. Using Algorithm 3, we compute

$$
X=\left[3^{\omega+1} \cdot 2^{\frac{(\omega+1)(\omega-4)}{4}} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\omega-1}\right]=124190 .
$$

```
Algorithm 3 Theorem 2.4
    Initialization: The public key tuple \(\left(N_{i}, e_{i}\right)\) and \(J_{i}\) satisfying Theorem 2.4.
    Choose \(r, s, t \geq 2, r>s\) and \(N=\max \left\{N_{i}\right\}\) for \(i=1, \ldots, \omega\).
    for any \((N, \omega, \Lambda)\) do
        \(\varepsilon=\frac{1}{r+\alpha} N^{3 \Lambda+\frac{r}{r+s} \xi-\gamma}\), where \(\Lambda=\frac{(r+s) \gamma \omega-r \omega \xi}{(r+s)(1+3 \omega)}\)
        \(X:=\left[3^{\omega+1} \times 2^{\frac{(\omega+1)(\omega-4)}{4}} \times \varepsilon^{-\omega-1}\right]\) for \(\omega \geq 2\).
    end for
    Consider the lattice \(\mathcal{L}\) spanned by the matrix \(B\) as stated below.
    Applying the LLL algorithm to \(\mathcal{L}\) yields the reduced basis matrix \(M\).
    for any \((B, M)\) do
        \(Q:=B^{-1}\)
        \(L=Q M\).
    end for
    Produce \(x_{i}, y\) from \(L\)
    for each triplet \(\left(x_{i}, y, e_{i}\right)\) do
        \(\phi\left(N_{i}\right):=\frac{e_{i} x_{i}^{2}-1}{y^{2}}\)
        \(W_{i}:=\operatorname{gcd}\left(\phi\left(N_{i}\right), N_{i}\right)\)
        \(p_{i}^{r-2}:=\operatorname{gcd}\left(J_{i}, W_{i}\right)\)
        \(q_{i}^{s}:=\frac{N_{i}}{p_{i}^{r}}\)
    end for
    return the prime factors \(\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right)\).
```

Consider the lattice $\mathcal{L}$ spanned by the matrix

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -\left[X \Psi_{1}\right] & -\left[X \Psi_{2}\right] & -\left[X \Psi_{3}\right] \\
0 & X & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & X & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & X
\end{array}\right]
$$

Therefore, applying the LLL algorithm to $\mathcal{L}$, we obtain reduced basis as follows

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1467 & -806 & -461 & -721 \\
-3585 & -570 & -2175 & -6365 \\
1669 & -3372 & 8703 & -397 \\
-3597 & -11484 & -3949 & 8371
\end{array}\right]
$$

Next, we compute

$$
L=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1467 & 7691 & 7211 & 2215 \\
-3585 & -18795 & -17622 & -5413 \\
1669 & 8750 & 8204 & 2520 \\
-3597 & -18858 & -17681 & -5431
\end{array}\right]
$$

From the first row of matrix $L$ we obtain $y=1467, x_{1}=7691, x_{2}=7211, x_{3}=2215$. Hence using $x_{i}, y$ and Algorithm 3, we compute $A_{i}=\frac{e_{i} x_{i}^{2}-z_{i}}{y}=\phi\left(N_{i}\right)=p_{i}^{r-1} q_{i}^{s-1}\left(p_{i}-1\right)\left(q_{i}-1\right), W_{i}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(\phi\left(N_{i}\right), N_{i}\right)$ and

```
pi
    A
    A}\mp@subsup{A}{2}{}=86131250307625763208899431694470413355158286351436189855661267868960454178494377082269732193724
    A}\mp@subsup{A}{3}{}=69118983900320547582861966688616973859634625011670890501086279228794544531154319310583758076976
    W
    W}=286045938487743981433127567826012041245759491620222388161947
    W
    p
    p}\mp@subsup{\mp@code{2}}{=949972032599552436175627}{
    p
```

Finally, we compute $q_{i}^{s}:=\frac{N_{i}}{p_{i}^{i}}$ for $i=1,2,3$ which gives

$$
q_{1}=101084908009, q_{2}=316967070643, q_{3}=424941480247 .
$$

This leads to the simultaneous factorization of three moduli $N_{1}, N_{2}$ and $N_{3}$ in polynomial time.

## 3 Conclusion

For $2 \leq s<r$ with $q<p<\lambda q$ and $q^{s}<p^{r}<\lambda q^{s}$, we suggested two polynomial attacks for cracking the modulus of the form $N=p^{r} q^{s}$, where $p$ and $q$ are unbalance prime numbers.

The first method used the method of continued fractions expansion to show that $\frac{y^{2}}{x^{2}}$ can be recovered among the convergents of the continued fraction expansion
 modulus $N=p^{r} q^{s}$. The second method made use of $j$ public keys ( $N_{i}, e_{i}, J_{2 i}$ ) where $J_{2 i}=p^{r-2} q^{s-2}\left(p_{i}-1\right)\left(q_{i}-1\right)$ when $j$ relations of the form $e_{i} x^{2}-y_{i}^{2} \phi\left(N_{i}\right)=z_{i}$ and $e_{i} x_{i}^{2}-y^{2} \phi\left(N_{i}\right)=z_{i}$ exist, and the unknown parameters $x, x_{i}, y, y_{i}$ can be recovered concurrently using the LLL algorithm, which allows us to factor $j$ prime power moduli In polynomial time, $N_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3$.
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