

Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology

Volume 27, Issue 8, Page 1015-1024, 2024; Article no.JABB.120816 ISSN: 2394-1081

Studies on Performance of Elite Dutch Rose Varieties under Naturally Ventilated Polyhouse for Northern Dry Zone of Karnataka, India

Tanuja, R. N^{a++*}, Sateesh R. Patil^{a#}, Balaji S. Kulkarni^{a†}, Raghavendra K. Mesta^{b‡}, Sanganabasava G. Gollagi^{c^} and Kantesh Gandolkar^{d##}

 ^a Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, UHS, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India.
 ^b UHS, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India.
 ^c HREC, Vijayapura, Karnataka, India.
 ^d Directorate of Extension, UHS, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i81222

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120816

> Received: 27/05/2024 Accepted: 01/08/2024 Published: 03/08/2024

Original Research Article

Cite as: R. N, Tanuja, Sateesh R. Patil, Balaji S. Kulkarni, Raghavendra K. Mesta, Sanganabasava G. Gollagi, and Kantesh Gandolkar. 2024. "Studies on Performance of Elite Dutch Rose Varieties under Naturally Ventilated Polyhouse for Northern Dry Zone of Karnataka, India". Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology 27 (8):1015-24. https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i81222.

⁺⁺ M.Sc. (Horticulture) Scholar;

[#] Professor and Head;

[†] Professor and Dean;

[‡] Special officer (PPMC);

[^] Head;

^{##} Professor of Agronomy;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: tanunagappanavar@gmail.com, tanuflori66@gmail.com;

ABSTRACT

A study on the performance of elite Dutch rose varieties under naturally ventilated polyhouse for Northern dry zone of Karnataka was carried out during 2022-23 at the experimental block, Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with seven varieties and three replications. The varieties were Top Secret, Jumilia, Solar, Revival, Rock Star, Arka Pride and Arka Ivory. Various growth, flowering and yield parameters were recorded during experimental period (September 2022 – September 2023). Among all the varieties studied, the variety Jumilia was superior with respect to plant height (81.22 cm), plant spread (E -W: 46.19 cm and N -S: 45.24 cm), number of branches per plant (4.52), leaf area per plant (2893.28 cm²), stem girth (1.43 cm), days taken for first flower bud initiation (35.67 days), days taken for first flowering (60.00 days), number of flowers per plant (23.98) and number of flower stalks per m² (216.79).

Keywords: Dutch rose; naturally ventilated polyhouse; growth; flowering; yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

Rose is the oldest flower under cultivation and top ranking cut flower in international market followed by carnation and chrysanthemum [1] It is widely known as 'Queen of flowers' due to its variability in size, diverse colour and shape, Rose belongs to Rosaceae family and is indiaenous to the temperate Northern Hemisphere. The genus Rosa is composed of 120 species, only eight of which are widely cultivated viz., R. chinensis, R. damascena, R. gallica, R. foetida, R. moschata, R. gigantea, R. multiflora and R. wichuriana [2]. Rose is a wellknown flower to symbolize love, peace, adoration, innocence, friendship, passion and affection. Among the diverse varieties, the Dutch rose stands out for its exquisite beauty, vibrant colors and superior quality, making it a preferred choice for both ornamental and commercial purposes.

Dutch roses are considered as important cut flower varieties with commercial importance. These flowers are exclusively grown in polyhouse in India because of their high demand in foreign countries during various occasions like valentine's day, new year, Christmas and marriage ceremonies as cut flowers. These roses have high demand in national and international markets because they produce flowers of uniform size, attractive flower colour, better stalk length and good vase life. These roses are mainly cultivated in four Indian states viz., West Bengal, Karnataka, Gujarat and Chhattisgarh [3]. Majorly produced for export purpose and to meet out the local demands, which helps the farmers to earn higher returns. The cultivation of these roses is gaining importance in Karnataka due to its relative ease in cultivation, high net returns and increasing market demand [4].

Climate change is one of the major impacting factors affecting the crop production in India through a number of ways, including high temperature, drought, typhoon damage, flooding due to excessive rainfall and emerging pests and diseases etc. Several new biotic and abiotic stresses have emerged as a great challenge [5]. Protected cultivation technique reduces these stresses by providing complete controlled condition. Performance of rose varieties depends on region, season and other environmental conditions. Even though some work has been carried out to know the performance of Dutch rose varieties under naturally ventilated polyhouse, new varieties are added to list whose performance is not assessed particularly for Northern dry zone of Karnataka as the high temperature is the limiting factor to grow roses under polyhouse particularly during summer season. Keeping this in view the present study was conducted to assess the performance of Dutch rose varieties for their growth, flowering and yield under naturally ventilated.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

An experiment entitled "Studies on performance of elite Dutch rose varieties under naturally ventilated polyhouse for Northern dry zone of Karnataka" was carried out at experimental block, Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, Bagalkot, Karnataka during the academic year 2022-23. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with seven varieties and three replications by planting the one to two months old budded plants with 50cm × 20cm spacing accumulating 10 plants per square meter of area. Seven varieties used for study were Top Secret, Jumilia, Solar, Revival, Rock Star, Arka Pride and Arka Ivory (Plate 1). The experiment was set up in a naturally ventilated polyhouse which is oriented in North - South direction (560 m²). The frame of polyhouse is made up of galvanized iron pipe and UV stabilized polythene film of 800 gauge as cladding material. By operating the foggers and side rollable flaps, the temperature and humidity were maintained. All the recommended practices like irrigation, fertigation and weeding were carried out. Different plant protection chemicals were applied against different pests and diseases. Other special horticultural practices like bending, pruning and bud capping were followed. Five plants were randomly selected from each replication for carrying out performance studies. The data on various growth, flowering at 120 DAP and yield parameters were recorded (November 2022 - September 2023) and statistically analysed.

2.1 Growth Parameters

2.1.1 Plant height (cm)

The plant height of labelled plants was recorded by measuring from ground level to peak growing shoot at 120 days after planting. Average plant height was then calculated in centimetres.

2.1.2 Plant spread (cm)

The plant spread of labelled plants was measured at 120 days after planting by taking

width in East – West and North – South direction at right angle to each other. Average plant spread was then calculated in centimetres.

2.1.3 Number of branches per plant

Number of branches growing from the main stem of labelled plants were counted and recorded at 120 days after planting. Average was worked out and expressed in numbers. The number of branches were maintained not more than four as it is used for cut flower purpose.

2.1.4 Stem girth (cm)

Stem girth of labelled plants was measured by Vernier Callipers at 120 days after planting. Average was worked out and indicated in centimetres.

2.1.5 Leaf area per plant (cm²)

Leaf area per plant of labelled plant was estimated by using graphical method. It was recorded at 120 days after planting and expressed in cm^2 .

Leaf area = $1 \times$ (Number of complete squares) cm² + $\frac{1}{2} \times$ (Number of half or more than half squares) cm² + $\frac{1}{4} \times$ (Number of less than half squares) cm²[6]



Plate 1. Varieties evaluated for the experiment

2.2 Flowering Parameters

2.2.1 Days taken for first flower bud initiation

Number of days taken for first flower bud initiation from date of planting was recorded from each plant in all the varieties. Average was worked out and expressed in terms of days.

2.2.2 Days taken for first flowering

Number of days taken from date of planting to the stage at which the first flower bud blooms fully was recorded from each plant of all the varieties. Average was worked out and expressed in terms of days.

2.3 Yield Parameters

2.3.1 Number of flowers per plant

Number of flower stalks per plant in all the varieties was recorded throughout the study and indicated in terms of number.

2.3.2 Number of flowers per m²

The total number of flowers harvested from the plants accommodated in one sq. m area throughout the study was recorded in each variety and expressed in number.

2.3.3 Number of flowers per month per m²

The total number of flower stalks obtained from one sq. m area from all varieties was recorded month wise throughout the study and expressed in terms of number.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Growth Parameters

Plant height varied significantly among the varieties at 120 days after planting (Table 1). The variety Jumilia was significantly superior with maximum plant height of 81.22 cm followed by the variety Top Secret (78.99 cm) and Solar (69.54 cm). While the variety Arka Pride recorded minimum plant height (57.65 cm) which was on par with the variety Arka Ivory (59.82 cm). This variation with respect to plant height may be due to genetic nature of varieties that lead variation in meristematic activity resulting in cell division and elongation during the tender variation in synthesis growth phase, of phytohormones and influence of external environmental conditions. Similar kind of results were obtained by Pradhan et al. [7], Joshna and Sarkar, [8], Atal et al. [9].

Plant spread (E -W and N -S direction) was gradually increased during subsequent growth stages of plant (Table 1). At 120 DAP, significantly maximum plant spread (E -W and N -S direction) was exhibited by the variety Jumilia (46.63 cm and 45.24 cm, respectively) followed by the variety Top Secret (44.71 cm and 43.35 cm). Whereas the variety Arka Ivory (32.96 cm and 28.87 cm, respectively) recorded minimum plant spread (E -W and N -S direction). The variations may be due to genetic potential of varieties leading to production of more or less number of branches and influence of climatic conditions. Similar outcomes were obtained by Adhikary et al. [10].

During the first two months of planting because of slow vegetative growth, there was no significant difference in the number of branches per plant. Whereas all varieties exhibited significant difference with respect to number of branches per plant at 120 DAP (Table 1). The variety Jumilia produced maximum number of branches (4.52) which was on par with the variety Top Secret (4.33) while minimum number of branches were observed in the variety Revival (3.22). This may be due to genetic makeup of variety that resulted variation with respect to rate of photosynthesis thereby producing varying number of branches and effect of external environment leading to variation in light interception. Similar results were reported by Philip et al. [11] and Shamanth et al. [12].

Leaf area per plant was gradually increased during subsequent growth stages of plant. The highest leaf area per plant (2893.28 cm²) was recorded in the variety Jumilia at 120 DAP (Table 1). Whereas it was lowest in the variety Arka Pride (1209.57 cm²). The variation in leaf area per plant may be due to genetic constitution of the varieties that produced varying number of branches per plant leading to varying number of leaves thereby showing variation and also varied leaf length and breadth lead to variation in leaf area per plant. Similar outcomes were obtained by Pradhan et al. [7] and Adhikary et al. [10].

There was an increasing trend in measurements of stem girth at various growth phases. At 120 DAP, stem girth was highest in the variety Jumilia (1.43 cm) followed by the variety Top Secret (1.10 cm). Whereas it was minimum in the variety Arka Pride (0.77 cm). This variation may be due to genetic nature of the varieties that increased or decreased cell division in lateral meristem, adaptability of varieties to climate and increased number of branches. Similar results were observed by Soujanya et al. [13] and Pawar et al. [14].

3.2 Flowering Parameters

Significantly early flower bud initiation was observed in the variety Jumilia (35.67 days) which was statistically on par with the variety Top Secret (37.00 days), but late flower bud initiation was observed in the variety Revival (42.08 days). Among the varieties, delayed first flowering was noticed in the variety Jumilia (60.00 days) followed by Top Secret (57.34 days) and advanced first flowering was noticed in the variety Arka Pride (47.77 days). The variations may be mainly due to genetic potential of varieties and also influence of external climatic conditions. Similar reports were obtained by Shivaprasad et al. [15] and Chauhan et al. [16] (Table 2).

3.3 Yield Parameters

The variety Jumilia produced maximum number of flowers per plant (23.98) followed by the variety Top Secret (20.09) but least number of flowers per plant were produced by the variety Revival (11.59). This may be due to differences in number of branches per plant, higher rate of accumulation of photosynthates due to increased photosynthesis, variation in leaf area per plant and genetic variations among the varieties. This variation is confirmed by Abid Mahmood et al. (2013), Wasnik et al. (2015) and Anand et al. (2017).

Similarly, highest number of flowers per m^2 were produced by the variety Jumilia (216.79) followed by the variety Top Secret (186.87) whereas, lowest number of flowers per m^2 were obtained by the variety Revival (109.34). The genetic makeup of varieties, favourable climatic condition and variation in leaf area contributed for this variation. Parallel reports of Dalal et al. [17] and Shivaprasad et al. [18] (Table 3).

 Table 1. Growth parameters of different varieties of Dutch rose under naturally ventilated polyhouse at 120 DAP

SI. No.	Variety	Plant height (cm)	Plant Spread (cm)		Plant Spread Number of (cm) branches		Stem girth
			E-W	N - S		(cm²)	(cm)
1	Top Secret	78.99	44.71	43.35	4.33	2794.65	1.10
2	Jumilia	81.22	46.63	45.24	4.52	2893.28	1.43
3	Solar	69.54	42.75	42.07	3.88	2105.96	0.88
4	Revival	65.36	37.51	38.52	3.22	1543.10	0.80
5	Rock Star	67.20	39.54	40.92	3.55	2102.81	0.94
6	Arka Pride	57.65	35.53	37.06	3.43	1209.57	0.77
7	Arka Ivory	59.82	32.96	28.87	3.68	1774.82	0.90
	S. Em±	0.97	0.56	0.64	0.12	25.67	0.03
	CD at 5%	3.00	1.73	1.97	0.36	79.10	0.10

DAP- Days after planting

Table 2. Flowering parameters of different varieties of Dutch rose under naturally ventilated polyhouse

SI. No.	Variety	Days taken for first flower bud initiation (days)	Days taken for first flowering (days)
1	Top Secret	37.00	57.34
2	Jumilia	35.67	60.00
3	Solar	39.69	54.53
4	Revival	42.08	50.24
5	Rock Star	40.66	52.38
6	Arka Pride	41.08	47.77
7	Arka Ivory	39.73	49.16
	S. Em±	0.50	0.35
	CD at 5%	1.55	1.09

SI. No.	Variety	Number of Flowers per plant	Number of Flowers per m ²	
1	Top Secret	20.09	186.87	
2	Jumilia	23.98	216.79	
3	Solar	19.52	170.43	
4	Revival	11.59	109.34	
5	Rock Star	18.56	168.45	
6	Arka Pride	13.66	124.23	
7	Arka Ivory	14.80	135.20	
	S. Em±	1.22	13.60	
	CD at 5%	3.76	41.93	

Table 3. Yield parameters of different varieties Dutch rose grown under naturally ventilated polyhouse

Table 4. Flower yield per month per m² of different varieties Dutch rose grown under naturally ventilated polyhouse

SI.	Variety	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September
No.	-	2022	2022	2023	2023	2023	2023	2023	2023	2023	2023	2023
1	Top Secret	7.90	16.28	20.46	20.88	5.12	9.89	9.12	Nil	18.10	25.33	22.90
2	Jumilia	5.00	20.48	22.45	31.33	10.67	12.48	12.23	Nil	13.93	29.29	32.11
3	Solar	3.00	14.83	19.28	18.71	8.00	9.42	8.71	Nil	9.87	22.49	24.36
4	Revival	2.33	5.17	7.50	13.00	6.00	8.82	8.38	Nil	9.34	15.23	9.85
5	Rock Star	4.33	10.91	16.62	17.72	9.00	10.76	11.90	Nil	14.00	20.88	21.39
6	Arka Pride	3.02	11.32	19.09	10.15	9.70	9.73	8.12	Nil	9.84	19.63	12.46
7	Arka Ivory	3.93	15.24	22.29	14.33	7.67	8.87	9.26	Nil	8.52	20.54	18.54
	S. Em±	0.62	1.00	0.79	2.06	0.72	0.55	0.94	Nil	0.90	2.15	2.47
	CD at 5%	1.92	3.09	2.45	6.34	2.24	1.70	2.92	Nil	2.78	6.63	7.62



Tanuja et al.; J. Adv. Biol. Biotechnol., vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 1015-1024, 2024; Article no.JABB.120816

Fig. 1. Flower yield per month per m² of different varieties of Dutch rose grown under naturally ventilated polyhouse

The data regarding flower yield per month per m² was recorded during months from November of 2022 to September of 2023 which varied significantly among the varieties and represented in Table 4 and Fig. 1. During the months from December of 2022 and January, February, July, August and September of 2023, almost all the varieties produced maximum number of flowers per m². The variety Jumilia produced maximum number of flowers during these months (20.48, 32.11, 31.33, 13.93, 29.29 and 22 45 respectively) followed by the variety Top Secret (16.28, 20.46, 20.88, 18.10, 25.33 and 22.90. respectively). But during the months from November of 2022, March, April and May, the variety Revival produced lowest number of flowers per m² (2.33, 6.00, 8.82 and 8.38, respectively). During the month of June 2023. pruning operation was carried out due to which vield is nil in that month. These results were may be due to congenial climatic conditions during particular months of crop growth stage such as favourable temperature, relative humidity and light which lead to increased growth and development with more yield. These findings were in accordance with results of Singh and Mandhar [19], Maitra et al. (2020) in gerbera.

4. CONCLUSION

The demand for roses has been steadily increasing, driven by changing consumer preferences, cultural practices and economic growth. This has encouraged more farmers to venture into floriculture. Advances in technology, including greenhouse technology and irrigation systems have made it more feasible to grow roses in controlled environments. Growing roses is advantage of higher returns compared to traditional crops. Among the seven varieties of Dutch rose studied, the variety Jumilia found to be superior when compared with other varieties based on its growth, flowering and yield parameters, followed by the variety Top Secret. As well as the varieties Solar and Rock Star performed better with respect to growth and yield parameters. Hence the varieties of Dutch rose Jumilia and Top Secret found to be best suitable to grow under Northern dry zone of Karnataka in naturally ventilated polyhouse and the varieties Solar and Rock Star are in next order.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image

generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Darras A. Overview of the dynamic role of specialty cut flowers in the international cut flower market. Horticulturae. 2021;7(3):51.
- 2. Muthulakshmi R, Visalakshi M, Aruna P, Manikanda Boopathi N. Evaluation of field rose varieties for growth and yield parameters under open field condition. J. Pharm. Innov. 2022;11(7):1823-1826.
- 3. Reddy PP. Sustainable crop protection under protected cultivation (No. BOOK). Singapore: Springer; 2016.
- Hosur S, Patil SR. Studies on performance of Dutch roses under naturally ventilated polyhouse in Krishna command area. M.Sc. (Hort.) Thesis, UHS Bagalkot, India; 2014.
- 5. Vijayalaxmi GP, Seetaramu GK, Balaji SK. Performance of floribunda roses for yield parameters under north eastern transitional zone of Karnataka. Plant Arch. 2017;17(2):1105-1107.
- Gao M, Van der Heijden G. Vos, Eveleens BA. Marcelis, LF. Estimation of leaf area for large scale phenotyping and modeling of rose genotypes. Scientia horticulturae. 2012;138:227-234.
- 7. Pradhan M, Panchbhai DM, Badge S, Bhute PN. Performance of rose varieties for growth and flowering under Nagpur (Maharashtra) conditions. J. Soils and Crops. 2017;27(1):239-242.
- Joshna K, Sarkar MM. Varietal evaluation of Hybrid Tea roses under the plains of West Bengal, India. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018;7(5):3006-3010.
- 9. Atal HL, Debbarma K, Kumar D. Varietal evaluation of hybrid tea roses vegetative characters under West Bengal condition. J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem. 2020;9(3):1305-1306.
- Adhikary, Kunal, Sarkar MM. Varietal evaluation of miniature rose cultivars under the plains of West Bengal, India. J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem. 2019;8(4):1618-1621.
- 11. Philip P, Sankar M, Sreelatha U, Minimol JS, Anupama TV. Evaluation of cut rose

varieties for commercial cultivation under humid tropics of Kerala. J. Trop. Agric. 2020;57(2):180–185.

- 12. Shamanth MG, Nagaraju R, Swarajya Lakshmi Srinivasa Κ, Reddy D. Govindarajulu B. Performance of standard carnation (Dianthus carvophyllus L) cultivars for growth, flowering and yield under naturally ventilated polyhouse in Rayalaseema region of Andhra Pradesh. Progressive Res. Int. .1 2016;136(158.97):7-25.
- Soujanya P, Kulkarni BS, Kumar R, Munikrishnappa PM, Shivapriya M, Harshavardhan M. Evaluation of rose (*Rosa hybrida* L.) varieties for growth, yield and quality under eastern dry zone of Karnataka. J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem. 2018;7(5):165-168.
- 14. Pawar RD, Dalvi NV, Dhage SR, Patil SR. Studies on performance of chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium*) genotypes under polyhouse conditions. J. Pharm. Innov. 2023; 12(2):3573-3575.

- Shivaprasad SG, Nataraj SK, Latha S, Ravi CH, Vader SK. Varietal performance and economics of rose cultivation under protected conditions. Res. Environ. Life Sci. Rel. Sci. 2017;10(9):775-778.
- Chauhan P, Dhiman SR, Kashyap B, Gupta YC, Gupta RK, Dogra RK. Evaluation of carnation germplasm for its growth and flowering performance. Int. J. Farm Sci. 2014;4(3):81-90.
- Dalal SR, Wankar AM, Somavanshi AV. Performance of carnation cultivars under polyhouse condition. Asian J. Hort. 2009;4(1):225-226.
- Shivaprasad SG, Nataraj SK, Latha S, Ravi CH, Suryakant KV. Evaluation and correlation studies of rose cultivars under naturally ventilated polyhouse. Res. Environ. Life Sci. 2016;9(9):1097-1099.
- 19. Singh KP, Mandhar SC. Performance of gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii*), cultivars under fan and pad cooled greenhouse environments. J. Appl. Hort. 2004;4(1):56-59.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

APPENDIX I

Meteorological data during the study period from September 2022 to September 2023 at the meteorological observatory of MHREC, UHS, Bagalkot and under polyhouse conditions was as below recorded:

Month	Rainfall	External environment Polyhou		lyhouse	ouse condition		
	(mm)	Tem	р (°С)	Relative	Tem	р (°С)	Relative
		Max	Min	humidity	Max	Min	humidity
Sept-2022	183.8	30.4	19.35	81.23	34.75	21.36	52.45
Oct- 2022	202.2	30.5	20.2	79.48	35.21	23.14	68.00
Nov- 2022	Nil	30.15	17.23	68.58	34.6	19.54	50.65
Dec- 2022	1.1	30.6	16.07	68	34.0	19.54	46.81
Jan- 2023	Nil	31.89	14.37	69.35	36.47	15.36	36.63
Feb- 2023	Nil	34.3	15.43	46.85	39.65	17.69	30.48
Mar- 2023	Nil	35.70	17.27	46.24	40.74	19.36	46.4
Apr- 2023	37.8	38.51	21.62	52.23	41.91	25.14	50.87
May- 2023	12	38.37	24.20	56.48	41.62	27.65	43.51
Jun- 2023	65.4	32.6	23.7	56.46	36.34	26.51	52.67
Jul- 2023	32.0	28.4	20.6	57.68	32.84	23.41	46.21
Aug- 2023	36.9	30.3	21.6	60.6	33.57	25.34	52.1
Sep- 2023	105.9	31.2	20.6	62.7	35.67	23.47	60.14
Average	56.42	35.24	21.02	61.99	36.72	23.08	53.07

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120816