

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 36, Issue 6, Page 875-881, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.116937 ISSN: 2320-7035

Exploring Pod Seeded Groundnut and its Response to Spacing and Nutrient Levels

S. Swetha a++* and T. Ragavan b#

^a Vanavarayar Institute of Agriculture, Pollachi, India. ^b Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i64695

Open Peer Review History: This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116937

Original Research Article

Received: 17/03/2024 Accepted: 22/05/2024 Published: 24/05/2024

ABSTRACT

Groundnut is cultivated mainly by small and marginal farmers. Seed cost and sowing are stated to be the most expensive operations which combined are responsible for about 45% of the entire cost of groundnut cultivation. The seed cost is increased mainly by the operation of shelling, which raises it to 3 times. The objective of this research was to test the viability of sowing groundnut with pods after proper seed treatment to reduce the shelling cost. Treatments include four spacing and three nutrient levels. It was sown in the spacing of 30 cm × 10 cm, 20 cm × 20 cm, 25 cm × 15 cm, and 30 cm × 15 cm. The different nutrient levels followed were the soil-based recommendation approach, 100% Recommended dose of fertilizers (25: 50: 75 N, P₂O₅, K₂O kg ha⁻¹) and, 125% Recommended dose of fertilizers (31.25: 62.5: 93.75 N, P₂O₅, K₂O kg ha⁻¹). Groundnut response was evaluated based on dry matter production, number of pods per plant, hundred pod weight, pod

++Assistant Professor;

*Professor and Head;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: swethasivakumar.96@gmail.com;

Cite as: Swetha, S., & Ragavan, T. (2024). Exploring Pod Seeded Groundnut and its Response to Spacing and Nutrient Levels. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science, 36(6), 875–881. https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i64695

yield, haulm yield, harvest index and B: C ratio. The combination of 30 cm \times 15 cm spacing, and 125% recommended dose of fertilizers (S₄N₃) documented the highest double pods per plant, hundred pod weight, highest pod yield of 3791 kg ha⁻¹ and benefit-cost ratio of 2.89. The higher yield as well as the higher benefit-cost ratio, indicates the practical feasibility and economic viability of adoption of pod-seeded groundnut.

Keywords: Groundnut; pod seeding; spacing; nutrient management.

1. INTRODUCTION

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea L.*) also identified as peanut and 'king of oilseeds' is a vital oilseed, food, and fodder legume crop belonging to the family Fabaceae. It is the 13th most essential food crop in the world, the 3rd chief basis for vegetable protein, and the 4th most significant source of edible oil. Groundnut production occupies a significant share of the Indian economy. India being the leader in groundnut production, holds the first position in both area and production around the world with an area of about 5.3 m ha and production of about 7.4 m tons.

Groundnut is cultivated in both irrigated and rainfed conditions. Nearly, around 80% of groundnut production comes from small and marginal farmers. Seed cost and sowing are stated to be the most expensive operations which combined are responsible for about 45% of the entire cost of groundnut cultivation. The seed cost is increased mainly by the operation of shelling, which raises it to 3 times. The shelling process also causes injuries and disturbance to the seed through embryo fracture which reduces the germination capacity and the ability of the seed to germinate at the desired time to ensure an adequate level of plant population and initial growth. Hence groundnut shelling remains a major problem in India [1].

Taking into account all these factors, the practice of pod seeding technology in groundnut can be exploited to increase productivity by reducing the input cost. Pod sowing is reported to be an important technology widely followed for groundnut cultivation in the areas of southern and northern China [2,3,4]. Pod sowing enlists various advantages such as reduction of seed cost due to the omission of the shelling process, lower seeding time, protection of kernels from birds and insects, and storage for a longer time as pods without the loss of viability.

To advocate a suitable package of practices for pod-sown groundnut, spacing, and nutrient levels

have to be optimized to achieve the maximum yield [5]. The spacing that is followed for groundnuts sown as kernel can't be used for pod sowing as pod sowing results in the emergence of two plants from a hill owing to the presence of two kernels in a pod. This reason also stresses the importance of fixing the optimum nutrient level for pod-sown groundnut as imbalanced use of fertilizers is reported to be an important reason for the low yield of groundnut. Though many researchers have published their outcomes on the influence of spacing and the effect of nutrient levels on groundnut sown as kernels, the research is yet to be done to evaluate the influence of pod sowing on growth, vield characters and groundnut yield. This study aims to fill the gap by finding a suitable spacing and nutrient recommendation for pod-seeded groundnuts.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field research was conducted in 2019 at the Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai. Tamil Nadu, India. Initially, а preliminary lab experiment was conducted to identify suitable seed treatment to ensure germination. Based on the results of the preliminary experiment, for all the treatments, the unshelled groundnut was soaked in calcium oxychloride for 24 hours before sowing in the ratio of 1:2 (pods: solution). The experimental soil was sandy clay loam and taxonomically known as *Typic udic hapustalf* which had a bulk density of 1.26 mg cc-1. The nutrient status was found to be low (205 kg ha-1), medium (16 kg ha-1) and medium (191 kg ha-1) with respect to available nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P₂O₅) and potassium (K₂O). During the cropping period, the maximum and minimum temperature fluctuated from 43 to 25°C and 22.5 to 14.5°C, respectively. The total rainfall obtained during the cropping period was 2.6 mm in 1 rainy day. The experimental design followed was F-RBD. Treatments included spacing and nutrient levels. The unshelled groundnut was sown with the spacing of 30 cm × 10 cm, 20 cm × 20 cm, 25 cm × 15 cm and 30 cm × 15 cm. The different nutrient levels followed were the soil-based recommendation approach. 100% Recommended dose of fertilizers (25: 50: 75 N, P₂O₅, K₂O kg ha⁻¹) and 125% Recommended dose of fertilizers (31.25: 62.5: 93.75 N, P2O5, K₂O kg ha⁻¹). The groundnut variety used for sowing is VRI 2 with a total duration of 105 days. Groundnut was grown under irrigated conditions. The fertilisers were given in the form of Urea, single super phosphate, and muriate of potash. The total amount of nitrogen and potassium were split into three viz., 50% N & K₂O as basal + 25 % N & K₂O at 20 DAS and 25 % N & K₂O at 45 DAS. Groundnut rich was sprayed to all plots invariably at the quantity of 5.0 kg/ha at 35 DAS and 45 DAS. Gypsum @ 400 kg ha-1 was supplied to each plot along the sides of plant rows and earthing up was done at 45 DAS. Pod sowing was immediately followed by first irrigation. Thereafter life irrigation was provided sufficiently on 3 DAS. Further irrigations for podsown groundnut were provided based on the need and requirement of the crop with an interval of 7 to 10 days.

Treatments were arranged in a factorial randomised block design with spacing as the first factor and nutrient levels as the second factor. The treatments were replicated thrice.

Groundnut biomass samples were collected after digging from a sample row from each plot and then dried in a forced air dryer at 65°C for one week. Plant weight, pod weight, and pod count data were collected from these samples. The pod-sown crop was harvested while the older leaves dried, became yellow, and Fell. The appearance of black streaks on the inside wall of groundnut shells in the majority of pods (more than 75%) indicates maturity. Initially, the harvest was done in two border rows that were left on all four sides of every plot. Harvest in the net plot was done independently. To attain a constant weight, the pods were first hand stripped and then dried under the sun. After sun drying, the pod yield was documented in kg ha-1. The haulm yield was also noted after stripping and was converted to kg ha⁻¹.

The data pertained to the experiments were exposed to statistical analysis by the analysis of variance (ANOVA) method. Whenever the differences between the treatments were found to be significant, critical differences (CD) were worked out at a five percent probability level and the resulting values were provided.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Different spacing and nutrient levels had no significant impact on the dry matter production of groundnut at 25 DAS. Dry matter production ranged from 815 to 919 kg ha⁻¹. However, varied spacing and nutrient levels significantly affected dry matter production at 75 DAS. Adoption of closer spacing 30 cm × 10 cm (S1) with 125% RDF (N₃) registered a significant maximum dry matter 4460 kg ha-1 as compared to other There were no momentous treatments. differences in dry matter among the various spacing at 25 DAS probably because of fewer view of opposition for growth resources in pod sown groundnut. Dry matter unit area-1 was greater with the spacing of 30 cm × 10 cm (S1). This may be owed to maximum plant density and increased photosynthesizing area on the view of plant height and LAI coupled with adequate nutrient and water availability and absorption. Closer spacing have resulted in the production of higher number of leaves and thereby contributing superior dry matter production [6]. A noticeable reduction of DMP was perceived in the wider spacing of 30×15 cm (S4). Though the dry matter plant⁻¹ was improved under sparse spacing, it could not yield higher dry matter production unit area⁻¹ due to low plant population. A similar observation was also testified that reduced plant population and curtailed dry matter accumulation [7].

The combination of 30 cm x 15 cm spacing and nutrient level of 125% recommended dose of fertilizers (S4N3) produced a statistically superior four number of single-seeded pods plant⁻¹ whereas the treatment combinations of S1N3, S2N2, S2N3, S3N2, S3N3 and, S4N2 registered three single seeded pods plant⁻¹. A higher value of 15 number of double-seeded pods plant⁻¹ were produced by the combination of 30 cm × 15 cm spacing and a nutrient level of 125% recommended dose of fertilizers (S4N3). The favorable effect of less competition for space and growth resources in the wider spacing leads to better development of pods aiding the increase in the number of developed pods per plant. The reason for the lessening with closer spacing of 30 cm × 10 cm (S1) was because of the reduced availability of nutrients and solar energy for plants in closer spacing instigating competition among the plants. The inverse relation between the plant population and the number of pods plant⁻¹ has also been confirmed [8].

Treatments	DMP		Number of si	ngle Number of	Hundred	
	(25 DAS)	(75 DAS)	seeded pods	per double seeded	pod	
			plant	pods per plant	weight	
S ₁ N₁	866	3356	2	7	80.7	
S_1N_2	894	3730	2	8	80.4	
S₁N₃	944	4460	3	10	81.7	
S_2N_1	815	3227	2	8	81.0	
S_2N_2	828	3557	3	11	84.1	
S ₂ N ₃	894	3758	3	13	91.4	
S ₃ N₁	846	3242	2	8	80.8	
S_3N_2	867	3667	3	12	83.4	
S₃N₃	919	3712	3	14	94.0	
S₄N₁	807	3186	2	9	86.5	
S ₄ N ₂	838	3265	3	13	93.0	
S ₄ N ₃	895	3313	4	15	94.9	
SEd	69.1	188.1	0.17	0.75	4.3	
CD (P=0.05)	NS	390	0.35	1.56	NS	
S1 : 30 cm × 10 cm N1 : STCR (22.54: 26.68: 51.2 kg N P2O5 K2O ha ⁻¹)						
S2 :	20 cm × 20 cm	N2:100% F	RDF (25: 50: 75 kg N	l P2O5 K2O ha ⁻¹)		
S3 :	25 cm × 15 cm	N3 : 125% F	RDF (31.25: 62.5: 93	8.75 kg N P2O5 K2O ha	-1)	
S4 :	30 cm x 15 cm					

Table 1. Effect of spacing and nutrient levels on Dry matter production, Number of single seeded pods, Number of double seeded pods and hundred pod weight of pod seeded groundnut

Data on hundred pod weight revealed that spacing and nutrient levels had a momentous influence on the hundred pod weight of groundnut. However, the interaction was nonsignificant. With regards to spacing treatment, 30 cm x 15 cm (S4) spacing registered statistically higher pod weight (91.5 g) followed by S3 (25 cm \times 15 cm) and S2 (20 cm \times 20 cm). Among the three nutrient levels, the application of a 125% recommended dose of fertilizers (N3) produced noticeably higher hundred pod weight (90.5 g) followed by N2 (100% recommended dose of fertilizers). Under wider spacing, due to less competition there is enhanced translocation of photosynthates from vegetative parts to the reproductive parts and then to kernels leading to a noticeable increase in hundred pod weight and hundred kernel weight. This conform with the outcomes of other researches [9].

A perusal of the pod yield of pod sown groundnut data revealed that the yield differences among the various spacing and nutrient levels attained a level of significance and the mean data are presented in Table 2. There was significant interaction among the spacing and nutrient levels on the pod yield of pod sown groundnut. The combination of 30 cm \times 15 cm spacing and 125% recommended dose of fertilizers (S4N3) documented the highest pod yield of 3791 kg ha⁻¹. Higher pod yield in wider spacing might be because of proficient exploitation of space and optimum availability of growth resources, which in turn have fashioned a desirable environment for the plant to grow by producing optimum growth parameters and improved partitioning of assimilates to pods thereby achieving the maximum number of pods unit area⁻¹. There was also an increased rate of physiological process of groundnut and higher sink capacity with an increase in nutrient level. The lowest pod vield was noted with the spacing of 30 cm × 10 cm and STCR approach (S1N1). It was 31.57% lower than the best treatment. Even though it has a greater plant population of 6, 66,666 plants ha-¹, more plants unit area-¹ leads to excessive competition among the plants which results in a drastic decline of the yield parameters and finally pod yield. This was in line with the outcomes of other researchers [10,11]. The higher plant population causes a struggle to utilize the growth resources restricting the photosynthetic partitioning and thereby reducing the yield parameters and yield. Further, there is a poor source-sink relationship and the formation of late flowers has been suppressed in closer planting due to severe competition [12,13,14]. The lower pod yield in the STCR approach might be possible because the STCR equation available for kernel-sown groundnut was followed for podsown groundnut where the yield and population were comparatively higher.

Treatments	Pod yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Haulm yield (kg ba ⁻¹)	Harvest Index	B : C ratio
S ₁ N ₁	2594	4593	0.33	1.86
S ₁ N ₂	2762	5277	0.32	1.92
S ₁ N ₃	3137	5982	0.34	2.14
S ₂ N ₁	2943	4421	0.38	2.31
S_2N_2	3051	4878	0.34	2.34
S ₂ N ₃	3226	5336	0.38	2.39
S₃N₁	2844	4464	0.37	2.19
S ₃ N ₂	3121	5031	0.36	2.32
S ₃ N ₃	3272	5273	0.40	2.38
S₄N₁	2810	4138	0.36	2.27
S ₄ N ₂	3339	4598	0.43	2.61
S ₄ N ₃	3791	4850	0.44	2.89
SEd	170	257	0.02	-
CD (P=0.05)	353	534	NS	-
S1 :	30 cm × 10 cm N1 : STC	CR (22.54: 26.68: 51.2	kg N P2O5 K2O ha ⁻¹)	
S2 :	20 cm × 20 cm N2 : 100	% RDF (25: 50: 75 kg N	N P2O5 K2O ha ⁻¹)	
S3 :	25 cm × 15 cm N3 : 125	% RDF (31.25: 62.5: 93	3.75 kg N P2O5 K2O	ha⁻¹)

Table 2. Effect of spacing and nutrient levels on Pod yield, Haulm yield, Harvest index and B:C
ratio of pod seeded groundnut

S4 : 30 cm × 15 cm



Fig. 1. Germination of two kernels from a single pod

A significant increase in haulm yield was evidenced by increasing plant density of 6, 66,666 plants ha⁻¹. The higher haulm yield of pod sown groundnut was registered with the 30 cm \times 10 cm (S₁) spacing treatment. It shows a 14.2% increase over S₄. The plant density determines the amount of sunlight intercepted into the canopy. With the increased population, the interception of photosynthetically active radiation

(PAR) is increased which is needed for carbohydrate and higher biomass production in the plants [15]. Similarly, advanced haulm yield was registered with the application of 125% recommended dose of fertilizers (N3) in case of pod sown groundnut. It shows a 15.7% increase over the STCR approach. It can be drawn back to the higher LAI and DMP with increased level of fertilizers.

The harvest index of groundnut was highly influenced by various spacing adopted. Amid the treatments, spacing of 30 cm × 15 cm (S4) documented uppermost harvest index of 0.41. It was followed by the spacing of 25 cm × 15 cm (S3) and 20 cm × 20 cm (S2) which were on par with each other. This is possibly because of the lower haulm yield and higher pod yield compared with other spacing which recorded higher plant population [7]. Likewise, nutrient levels also had a substantial influence on the harvest index. Application of 125% recommended dose of fertilizers (N3) demonstrated a higher harvest index (0.39). Applying sufficient nutrients play a significant role in improving the productivity of the crop which in turn improves the harvest index. The interaction effect between spacing and nutrient levels was established to be nonsignificant [16].

The benefit-cost ratio was also found to be highest (2.89) in the treatment combination of 30 cm \times 15 cm spacing and the application of 125% recommended dose of fertilizers (S4N3) owing to a low seed rate of 208 kg per hectare in the spacing of 30 \times 15 cm (S4). The seed rate was 33.54% less than the spacing of 30 \times 10 cm (S1). Seed being the most expensive input, higher benefit cost ratio was noted with lower seed rate [17,18,19].

4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The objectives of this research were to determine if sowing the groundnut with pod has the viability to improve the yield and reduce the cost of cultivation. Groundnut growth as determined by dry matter production, number of pods per plant and hundred pod weight was found to be maximum in the combination of 30 cm \times 15 cm spacing, and 125% recommended dose of fertilizers (S4N3). Pod yield and B: C ratio data have depicted the practical feasibility and economic viability of the adoption of pod-seeded groundnut. There is a future scope to explore the pod-seeded technology under rainfed conditions.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Gorane PS, Roundal VB, Yadav SS, Patil MA, Shinde MT, Chandure MS, Kumbhar MS. Design and manufacturing of peanut sheller machine. International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science. 2023;5(5):8879– 8883.

- 2. Yu W. Study on the yield increasing mechanism of peanut Pod-sowing and film mulching cultivation. Crops. 2004;6:20-1.
- 3. Chen Z, Zou X, Song L. The study on peanut pod sowing technique. Acta Agriculturae Jiangxi. 2009;2:34-5.
- 4. Chang M, Zheng Y. Effects of coated peanut sowing on germination of peanut's seedlings. Journal of Anhui Agricultural. Science. 2013;41:505-6.
- 5. Veeramani P, Subrahmaniyan K. Nutrient management for sustainable groundnut productivity in India–a review. International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology. 2011;3(11):8138-53.
- Rajasekhar M, Singh S, Sudhakar M, Dileep D. Effect of sowing dates and plant densities on growth and yield of soybean (*Glycine max* L.). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(10):2550-2553.
- Khan N, Xing F, Feng L, Wang Z, Xin M, Xiong S, Wang G, Chen H, Du W, Li Y. Comparative yield, fiber quality and dry matter production of cotton planted at various densities under equidistant row arrangement. Agronomy. 2020;10(2):232.
- 8. Meena BP, Kumawat SM. Effect of planting geometry and nitrogen management on groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) in loamy sand soil of Rajasthan. The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2011;81(1).
- Soumya B, Devi KS, Lakshmi YS, Maheshwari KU. Studies on seed rate for promising groundnut varieties under rainfed conditions of Southern Telangana zone, Andhra Pradesh. Journal on the repository of Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux International (CABI); 2011.
- 10. Lakshmi MB, Reddy AS. Effect of crop geometry on growth and yield of rainfed spanish bunch groundnut varieties. Journal of Oilseeds Research. 2001;18(1):134-135.
- Sternitzke DA, Lamb MC, Davidson Jr JI, Barron RT, Bennet CT. Impact of plant spacing and population on yield for singlerow nonirrigated peanuts (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). Peanut Science. 2000;27(2): 52-6.
- 12. Lanier JE, Jordan DL, Spears JF, Wells R, Johnson PD, Barnes JS, Hurt CA, Brandenburg RL, Bailey JE. Peanut

response to planting pattern, row spacing, and irrigation. Agronomy Journal. 2004; 96(4):1066-72.

- 13. Sorensen RB, Sternitzke D, Lamb M, Sconyers LE. Row orientation and seeding rate on yield, grade, and disease incidence of peanut with subsurface drip irrigation. Peanut Science. 2005;28:15-8.
- 14. Desmae H, Sako D, Konate D. Optimum plant density for increased groundnut pod yield and economic benefits in the semiarid tropics of West Africa. Agronomy. 2022;12(6):1474.
- Kumar V, Aulakh CS. Effect of planting geometry and potato seed tuber size on weeds and potato tuber yield. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2022;54(3) 291-295
- 16. Wali A, Umesha C, Meshram MR, Singh V. Effect of Plant Spacing and Organic Nutrient Management on Growth and Yield

Attributes of Green Gram (*Vigna radiata* L.). International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2022;34(16):61-70.

- Howlader SH, Bashar HM, Islam MS, Mamun MH, Jahan SM. Effect of plant spacings on the yield and yield attributes of groundnut. International Journal of Sustainable Crop Production. 2009;4(1): 41-44.
- Khaliq A, Mahmood A, Ahmad HB, Nadeem MA, Ahmad N, ul Sher R, Khursheed MR. Benefit cost ratio of buds chips planting and its effects on yield and quality of sugarcane. Advancements in Life Sciences. 2020;7(3):151-6.
- Iqbal A, Iqbal MA, Awad MF, Nasir M, Sabagh A, Siddiqui MH. Spatial arrangements and seeding rates influence biomass productivity, nutritional value and economic viability of maize (*Zea mays* L.). Pak. J. Bot. 2021;53(3):967-73.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116937